

# OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR & CATALYTIC CONVERTER

## Motor Active

Chemwatch Hazard Alert Code: 3

Chemwatch: 9008-99

Version No: 2.1

Safety Data Sheet according to Work Health and Safety Regulations (Hazardous Chemicals) 2023 and ADG requirements

Initial Date: 23/03/2026

Revision Date: 23/03/2026

Print Date: 24/03/2026

L.GHS.AUS.EN.E

## SECTION 1 Identification of the substance / mixture and of the company / undertaking

### Product Identifier

Product name	OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR & CATALYTIC CONVERTER
Chemical Name	Not Applicable
Synonyms	33230 (300ml), 33231, 33232, 33233
Proper shipping name	ENVIRONMENTALLY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE, LIQUID, N.O.S. (contains 2-ethylhexanol)
Chemical formula	Not Applicable
Other means of identification	Not Available

### Relevant identified uses of the substance or mixture and uses advised against

Relevant identified uses	Cleaner for car catalysts. Use according to manufacturer's directions.
--------------------------	---

### Details of the manufacturer or importer of the safety data sheet

Registered company name	Motor Active
Address	35 Slough Business Park, Holker Street Silverwater NSW 2128 Australia
Telephone	+61 2 9737 9422 1800 350 622
Fax	Not Available
Website	<a href="http://www.motoractive.com.au">www.motoractive.com.au</a>
Email	info@motoractive.com.au

### Emergency telephone number

Association / Organisation	MotorActive
Emergency telephone number(s)	+61 2 9737 9422 (For General Information Monday to Friday 8:30am to 5:pm)
Other emergency telephone number(s)	13 11 26 (In Case of Emergency contact: Poison Information Hotline)

## SECTION 2 Hazards identification

### Classification of the substance or mixture

**HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL. DANGEROUS GOODS. According to the WHS Regulations and the ADG Code.**

COMBUSTIBLE LIQUID, regulated for storage purposes only

#### Chemwatch Hazard Ratings

	Min	Max	
Flammability	1	1	
Toxicity	2	2	
Body Contact	3	3	
Reactivity	1	1	
Chronic	2	2	

0 = Minimum  
1 = Low  
2 = Moderate  
3 = High  
4 = Extreme

Poisons Schedule	Not Applicable
Classification [1]	Acute Toxicity (Oral) Category 4, Aspiration Hazard Category 1, Acute Toxicity (Dermal) Category 4, Skin Corrosion/Irritation Category 2, Sensitisation (Skin) Category 1, Serious Eye Damage/Eye Irritation Category 1, Specific Target Organ Toxicity - Single Exposure (Respiratory Tract Irritation) Category 3, Specific Target Organ Toxicity - Single Exposure (Narcotic Effects) Category 3, Reproductive Toxicity Category 2, Hazardous to the Aquatic Environment Long-Term Hazard Category 2
Legend:	1. Classified by Chemwatch; 2. Classification drawn from HCIS; 3. Classification drawn from Regulation (EU) No 1272/2008 - Annex VI

### Label elements

Hazard pictogram(s)	
Signal word	Danger

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

## Hazard statement(s)

H302	Harmful if swallowed.
H304	May be fatal if swallowed and enters airways.
H312	Harmful in contact with skin.
H315	Causes skin irritation.
H317	May cause an allergic skin reaction.
H318	Causes serious eye damage.
H335	May cause respiratory irritation.
H336	May cause drowsiness or dizziness.
H361d	Suspected of damaging the unborn child.
H411	Toxic to aquatic life with long lasting effects.
AUH019	May form explosive peroxides.

## Supplementary statement(s)

Not Applicable

## CLP classification (additional)

Not Applicable

## Precautionary statement(s) Prevention

P202	Do not handle until all safety precautions have been read and understood.
P271	Use only outdoors or in a well-ventilated area.
P280	Wear protective gloves, protective clothing, eye protection and face protection.
P261	Avoid breathing mist/vapours/spray.
P264	Wash all exposed external body areas thoroughly after handling.
P270	Do not eat, drink or smoke when using this product.
P273	Avoid release to the environment.
P272	Contaminated work clothing should not be allowed out of the workplace.

## Precautionary statement(s) Response

P301+P310	IF SWALLOWED: Immediately call a POISON CENTER/doctor/physician/first aider.
P331	Do NOT induce vomiting. If more than 15 mins from Doctor, INDUCE VOMITING (if conscious).
P305+P351+P338	IF IN EYES: Rinse cautiously with water for several minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing.
P308+P313	IF exposed or concerned: Get medical advice/ attention.
P302+P352	IF ON SKIN: Wash with plenty of water and soap.
P333+P313	If skin irritation or rash occurs: Get medical advice/attention.
P362+P364	Take off contaminated clothing and wash it before reuse.
P391	Collect spillage.
P301+P312	IF SWALLOWED: Call a POISON CENTER/doctor/physician/first aider if you feel unwell.
P304+P340	IF INHALED: Remove person to fresh air and keep comfortable for breathing.
P330	Rinse mouth.

## Precautionary statement(s) Storage

P405	Store locked up.
P403+P233	Store in a well-ventilated place. Keep container tightly closed.

## Precautionary statement(s) Disposal

P501	Dispose of contents/container to authorised hazardous or special waste collection point in accordance with any local regulation.
------	--

No further product hazard information.

## SECTION 3 Composition / information on ingredients

## Substances

See section below for composition of Mixtures

## Mixtures

CAS No	%[weight]	Name
1174522-09-8	30-<35	hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics
104-76-7	30-<35	2-ethylhexanol
100-51-6	30-<35	benzyl alcohol
<b>Legend:</b>	1. Classified by Chemwatch; 2. Classification drawn from HCIS; 3. Classification drawn from Regulation (EU) No 1272/2008 - Annex VI; 4. Classification drawn from C&L; * EU IOELVs available	

## SECTION 4 First aid measures

Continued...

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

## Description of first aid measures

<b>Eye Contact</b>	<p>If this product comes in contact with the eyes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Immediately hold eyelids apart and flush the eye continuously with running water.</li> <li>▶ Ensure complete irrigation of the eye by keeping eyelids apart and away from eye and moving the eyelids by occasionally lifting the upper and lower lids.</li> <li>▶ Continue flushing until advised to stop by the Poisons Information Centre or a doctor, or for at least 15 minutes.</li> <li>▶ Transport to hospital or doctor without delay.</li> <li>▶ Removal of contact lenses after an eye injury should only be undertaken by skilled personnel.</li> </ul>
<b>Skin Contact</b>	<p>If skin or hair contact occurs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Immediately flush body and clothes with large amounts of water, using safety shower if available.</li> <li>▶ Quickly remove all contaminated clothing, including footwear.</li> <li>▶ Wash skin and hair with running water. Continue flushing with water until advised to stop by the Poisons Information Centre.</li> <li>▶ Transport to hospital, or doctor.</li> </ul>
<b>Inhalation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ If fumes or combustion products are inhaled remove from contaminated area.</li> <li>▶ Lay patient down. Keep warm and rested.</li> <li>▶ Prostheses such as false teeth, which may block airway, should be removed, where possible, prior to initiating first aid procedures.</li> <li>▶ Apply artificial respiration if not breathing, preferably with a demand valve resuscitator, bag-valve mask device, or pocket mask as trained. Perform CPR if necessary.</li> <li>▶ Transport to hospital, or doctor, without delay.</li> </ul>
<b>Ingestion</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ <b>If swallowed do NOT induce vomiting.</b></li> <li>▶ If vomiting occurs, lean patient forward or place on left side (head-down position, if possible) to maintain open airway and prevent aspiration.</li> <li>▶ Observe the patient carefully.</li> <li>▶ Never give liquid to a person showing signs of being sleepy or with reduced awareness; i.e. becoming unconscious.</li> <li>▶ Give water to rinse out mouth, then provide liquid slowly and as much as casualty can comfortably drink.</li> <li>▶ Seek medical advice.</li> <li>▶ Avoid giving milk or oils.</li> <li>▶ Avoid giving alcohol.</li> </ul>

## Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed

For acute or short term repeated exposures to petroleum distillates or related hydrocarbons:

- ▶ Primary threat to life, from pure petroleum distillate ingestion and/or inhalation, is respiratory failure.
- ▶ Patients should be quickly evaluated for signs of respiratory distress (e.g. cyanosis, tachypnoea, intercostal retraction, obtundation) and given oxygen. Patients with inadequate tidal volumes or poor arterial blood gases (pO<sub>2</sub> 50 mm Hg) should be intubated.
- ▶ Arrhythmias complicate some hydrocarbon ingestion and/or inhalation and electrocardiographic evidence of myocardial injury has been reported; intravenous lines and cardiac monitors should be established in obviously symptomatic patients. The lungs excrete inhaled solvents, so that hyperventilation improves clearance.
- ▶ A chest x-ray should be taken immediately after stabilisation of breathing and circulation to document aspiration and detect the presence of pneumothorax.
- ▶ Epinephrine (adrenalin) is not recommended for treatment of bronchospasm because of potential myocardial sensitisation to catecholamines. Inhaled cardioselective bronchodilators (e.g. Alupent, Salbutamol) are the preferred agents, with aminophylline a second choice.
- ▶ Lavage is indicated in patients who require decontamination; ensure use of cuffed endotracheal tube in adult patients. [Ellenhorn and Barceloux: Medical Toxicology]

Any material aspirated during vomiting may produce lung injury. Therefore emesis should not be induced mechanically or pharmacologically. Mechanical means should be used if it is considered necessary to evacuate the stomach contents; these include gastric lavage after endotracheal intubation. If spontaneous vomiting has occurred after ingestion, the patient should be monitored for difficult breathing, as adverse effects of aspiration into the lungs may be delayed up to 48 hours.

To treat poisoning by the higher aliphatic alcohols (up to C7):

- ▶ Gastric lavage with copious amounts of water.
- ▶ It may be beneficial to instill 60 ml of mineral oil into the stomach.
- ▶ Oxygen and artificial respiration as needed.
- ▶ Electrolyte balance: it may be useful to start 500 ml. M/6 sodium bicarbonate intravenously but maintain a cautious and conservative attitude toward electrolyte replacement unless shock or severe acidosis threatens.
- ▶ To protect the liver, maintain carbohydrate intake by intravenous infusions of glucose.
- ▶ Haemodialysis if coma is deep and persistent. [GOSSELIN, SMITH HODGE: Clinical Toxicology of Commercial Products, Ed 5]

## BASIC TREATMENT

- ▶ Establish a patent airway with suction where necessary.
- ▶ Watch for signs of respiratory insufficiency and assist ventilation as necessary.
- ▶ Administer oxygen by non-rebreather mask at 10 to 15 l/min.
- ▶ Monitor and treat, where necessary, for shock.
- ▶ Monitor and treat, where necessary, for pulmonary oedema.
- ▶ Anticipate and treat, where necessary, for seizures.
- ▶ **DO NOT use emetics.** Where ingestion is suspected rinse mouth and give up to 200 ml water (5 ml/kg recommended) for dilution where patient is able to swallow, has a strong gag reflex and does not drool.
- ▶ Give activated charcoal.

## ADVANCED TREATMENT

- ▶ Consider orotracheal or nasotracheal intubation for airway control in unconscious patient or where respiratory arrest has occurred.
- ▶ Positive-pressure ventilation using a bag-valve mask might be of use.
- ▶ Monitor and treat, where necessary, for arrhythmias.
- ▶ Start an IV D5W TKO. If signs of hypovolaemia are present use lactated Ringers solution. Fluid overload might create complications.
- ▶ If the patient is hypoglycaemic (decreased or loss of consciousness, tachycardia, pallor, dilated pupils, diaphoresis and/or dextrose strip or glucometer readings below 50 mg), give 50% dextrose.
- ▶ Hypotension with signs of hypovolaemia requires the cautious administration of fluids. Fluid overload might create complications.
- ▶ Drug therapy should be considered for pulmonary oedema.
- ▶ Treat seizures with diazepam.
- ▶ Proparacaine hydrochloride should be used to assist eye irrigation.

## EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT

- ▶ Laboratory analysis of complete blood count, serum electrolytes, BUN, creatinine, glucose, urinalysis, baseline for serum aminotransferases (ALT and AST), calcium, phosphorus and magnesium, may assist in establishing a treatment regime. Other useful analyses include anion and osmolar gaps, arterial blood gases (ABGs), chest radiographs and electrocardiograph.
- ▶ Positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP)-assisted ventilation may be required for acute parenchymal injury or adult respiratory distress syndrome.
- ▶ Acidosis may respond to hyperventilation and bicarbonate therapy.
- ▶ Haemodialysis might be considered in patients with severe intoxication.
- ▶ Consult a toxicologist as necessary. BRONSTEIN, A.C. and CURRANCE, P.L. EMERGENCY CARE FOR HAZARDOUS MATERIALS EXPOSURE: 2nd Ed. 1994

For C8 alcohols and above.

Symptomatic and supportive therapy is advised in managing patients.

Clinical experience of benzyl alcohol poisoning is generally confined to premature neonates in receipt of preserved intravenous salines.

Continued...

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

- ▶ Metabolic acidosis, bradycardia, skin breakdown, hypotonia, hepatorenal failure, hypotension and cardiovascular collapse are characteristic.
- ▶ High urine benzoate and hippuric acid as well as elevated serum benzoic acid levels are found.
- ▶ The so-called "gassing syndrome" describes the progressive neurological deterioration of poisoned neonates.
- ▶ Management is essentially supportive.

## SECTION 5 Firefighting measures

## Extinguishing media

- ▶ Alcohol stable foam.
- ▶ Dry chemical powder.
- ▶ BCF (where regulations permit).
- ▶ Carbon dioxide.
- ▶ Water spray or fog - Large fires only.

## Special hazards arising from the substrate or mixture

- |                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| <b>Fire Incompatibility</b> | ▶ Avoid contamination with oxidising agents i.e. nitrates, oxidising acids, chlorine bleaches, pool chlorine etc. as ignition may result |
|-----------------------------|--|

## Advice for firefighters

<b>Fire Fighting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard.</li> <li>▶ Wear full body protective clothing with breathing apparatus.</li> <li>▶ Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water course.</li> <li>▶ Use water delivered as a fine spray to control fire and cool adjacent area.</li> <li>▶ Avoid spraying water onto liquid pools.</li> <li>▶ <b>DO NOT</b> approach containers suspected to be hot.</li> <li>▶ Cool fire exposed containers with water spray from a protected location.</li> <li>▶ If safe to do so, remove containers from path of fire.</li> </ul>
<b>Fire/Explosion Hazard</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Combustible.</li> <li>▶ Slight fire hazard when exposed to heat or flame.</li> <li>▶ Heating may cause expansion or decomposition leading to violent rupture of containers.</li> <li>▶ On combustion, may emit toxic fumes of carbon monoxide (CO).</li> <li>▶ May emit acrid smoke.</li> <li>▶ Mists containing combustible materials may be explosive.</li> </ul> Combustion products include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)</li> <li>▶ aldehydes</li> <li>▶ other pyrolysis products typical of burning organic material.</li> </ul>
<b>HAZCHEM</b>	●3Z

## SECTION 6 Accidental release measures

## Personal precautions, protective equipment and emergency procedures

See section 8

## Environmental precautions

See section 12

## Methods and material for containment and cleaning up

<b>Minor Spills</b>	Environmental hazard - contain spillage. Slippery when spilt. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Clean up all spills immediately.</li> <li>▶ Avoid breathing vapours and contact with skin and eyes.</li> <li>▶ Control personal contact with the substance, by using protective equipment.</li> <li>▶ Contain and absorb spill with sand, earth, inert material or vermiculite.</li> <li>▶ Wipe up.</li> <li>▶ Place in a suitable, labelled container for waste disposal.</li> </ul>
<b>Major Spills</b>	Environmental hazard - contain spillage. Slippery when spilt. Moderate hazard. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Clear area of personnel and move upwind.</li> <li>▶ Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard.</li> <li>▶ Wear breathing apparatus plus protective gloves.</li> <li>▶ Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water course.</li> <li>▶ No smoking, naked lights or ignition sources.</li> <li>▶ Increase ventilation.</li> <li>▶ Stop leak if safe to do so.</li> <li>▶ Contain spill with sand, earth or vermiculite.</li> <li>▶ Collect recoverable product into labelled containers for recycling.</li> <li>▶ Absorb remaining product with sand, earth or vermiculite.</li> <li>▶ Collect solid residues and seal in labelled drums for disposal.</li> <li>▶ Wash area and prevent runoff into drains.</li> <li>▶ If contamination of drains or waterways occurs, advise emergency services.</li> </ul>

Personal Protective Equipment advice is contained in Section 8 of the SDS.

## SECTION 7 Handling and storage

## Precautions for safe handling

<b>Safe handling</b>	The conductivity of this material may make it a static accumulator., A liquid is typically considered nonconductive if its conductivity is below 100 pS/m and is considered semi-conductive if its conductivity is below 10 000 pS/m., Whether a liquid is nonconductive or semi-conductive, the precautions are the same., A number of factors, for example liquid temperature, presence of contaminants, and anti-static additives can greatly influence the conductivity of a liquid. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Containers, even those that have been emptied, may contain explosive vapours.</li> <li>▶ Do NOT cut, drill, grind, weld or perform similar operations on or near containers.</li> </ul>
----------------------	---

Continued...

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

- ▶ **DO NOT allow clothing wet with material to stay in contact with skin**
- Electrostatic discharge may be generated during pumping - this may result in fire.
- Ensure electrical continuity by bonding and grounding (earthing) all equipment.
- Restrict line velocity during pumping in order to avoid generation of electrostatic discharge ( $\leq 1$  m/sec until fill pipe submerged to twice its diameter, then  $\leq 7$  m/sec).
- Avoid splash filling.
- Do NOT use compressed air for filling discharging or handling operations.
- Wait 2 minutes after tank filling (for tanks such as those on road tanker vehicles) before opening hatches or manholes.
- Wait 30 minutes after tank filling ( for large storage tanks) before opening hatches or manholes. Even with proper grounding and bonding, this material can still accumulate an electrostatic charge. If sufficient charge is allowed to accumulate, electrostatic discharge and ignition of flammable air-vapour mixtures can occur. Be aware of handling operations that may give rise to additional hazards that result from the accumulation of static charges. These include but are not limited to pumping (especially turbulent flow), mixing, filtering, splash filling, cleaning and filling of tanks and containers, sampling, switch loading, gauging, vacuum truck operations, and mechanical movements. These activities may lead to static discharge e.g. spark formation. Restrict line velocity during pumping in order to avoid generation of electrostatic discharge ( $\leq 1$  m/s until fill pipe submerged to twice its diameter, then  $\leq 7$  m/s). Avoid splash filling.
- Do NOT use compressed air for filling, discharging, or handling operations

The substance accumulates peroxides which may become hazardous only if it evaporates or is distilled or otherwise treated to concentrate the peroxides. The substance may concentrate around the container opening for example.

Purchases of peroxidisable chemicals should be restricted to ensure that the chemical is used completely before it can become peroxidised.

- ▶ A responsible person should maintain an inventory of peroxidisable chemicals or annotate the general chemical inventory to indicate which chemicals are subject to peroxidation. An expiration date should be determined. The chemical should either be treated to remove peroxides or disposed of before this date.
- ▶ The person or laboratory receiving the chemical should record a receipt date on the bottle. The individual opening the container should add an opening date.
- ▶ Unopened containers received from the supplier should be safe to store for 18 months.
- ▶ Opened containers should not be stored for more than 12 months.
- ▶ Avoid skin contact, including inhalation.
- ▶ Wear protective clothing when risk of exposure occurs.
- ▶ Use in a well-ventilated area.
- ▶ Prevent concentration in hollows and sumps.
- ▶ **DO NOT enter confined spaces until atmosphere has been checked.**
- ▶ Avoid smoking, naked lights or ignition sources.
- ▶ Avoid contact with incompatible materials.
- ▶ When handling, **DO NOT eat, drink or smoke.**
- ▶ Keep containers securely sealed when not in use.
- ▶ Avoid physical damage to containers.
- ▶ Always wash hands with soap and water after handling.
- ▶ Work clothes should be laundered separately.
- ▶ Use good occupational work practice.
- ▶ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.
- ▶ Atmosphere should be regularly checked against established exposure standards to ensure safe working conditions.

## Other information

- ▶ Store in original containers.
- ▶ Keep containers securely sealed.
- ▶ No smoking, naked lights or ignition sources.
- ▶ Store in a cool, dry, well-ventilated area.
- ▶ Store away from incompatible materials and foodstuff containers.
- ▶ Protect containers against physical damage and check regularly for leaks.
- ▶ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.

## Conditions for safe storage, including any incompatibilities

Suitable container	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Glass container is suitable for laboratory quantities</li> <li>▶ Metal can or drum</li> <li>▶ Packaging as recommended by manufacturer.</li> <li>▶ Check all containers are clearly labelled and free from leaks.</li> </ul>
Storage incompatibility	<p>Low molecular weight alkanes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ May react violently with strong oxidisers, chlorine, chlorine dioxide, dioxygenyl tetrafluoroborate.</li> <li>▶ May react with oxidising materials, nickel carbonyl in the presence of oxygen, heat.</li> <li>▶ Are incompatible with nitronium tetrafluoroborate(1-), halogens and interhalogens</li> <li>▶ may generate electrostatic charges, due to low conductivity, on flow or agitation.</li> <li>▶ Avoid flame and ignition sources</li> </ul> <p>Redox reactions of alkanes, in particular with oxygen and the halogens, are possible as the carbon atoms are in a strongly reduced condition. Reaction with oxygen (if present in sufficient quantity to satisfy the reaction stoichiometry) leads to combustion without any smoke, producing carbon dioxide and water. Free radical halogenation reactions occur with halogens, leading to the production of haloalkanes. In addition, alkanes have been shown to interact with, and bind to, certain transition metal complexes. Interaction between chlorine and ethane over activated carbon at 350 deg C has caused explosions, but added carbon dioxide reduces the risk. The violent interaction of liquid chlorine injected into ethane at 80 deg C/10 bar becomes very violent if ethylene is also present. A mixture prepared at -196 deg C with either methane or ethane exploded when the temp was raised to -78 deg C. Addition of nickel carbonyl to an n-butane-oxygen mixture causes an explosion at 20-40 deg C. Alkanes will react with steam in the presence of a nickel catalyst to give hydrogen.</p> <p>Benzyl alcohol:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ may froth in contact with water</li> <li>▶ slowly oxidises in air, oxygen forming benzaldehyde</li> <li>▶ is incompatible with mineral acids, caustics, aliphatic amines, isocyanates</li> <li>▶ reacts violently with strong oxidisers, and explosively with sulfuric acid at elevated temperatures</li> <li>▶ corrodes aluminium at high temperatures</li> <li>▶ is incompatible with aluminum, iron, steel</li> <li>▶ attacks some nonfluorinated plastics; may attack, extract and dissolve polypropylene</li> </ul> <p>Benzyl alcohol contaminated with 1.4% hydrogen bromide and 1.2% of dissolved iron(II) polymerises exothermically above 100 deg. C.</p> <p>Alcohols</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ are incompatible with strong acids, acid chlorides, acid anhydrides, oxidising and reducing agents.</li> </ul>

**OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR & CATALYTIC CONVERTER**

- ▶ reacts, possibly violently, with alkaline metals and alkaline earth metals to produce hydrogen
  - ▶ react with strong acids, strong caustics, aliphatic amines, isocyanates, acetaldehyde, benzoyl peroxide, chromic acid, chromium oxide, dialkylzincs, dichlorine oxide, ethylene oxide, hypochlorous acid, isopropyl chlorocarbonate, lithium tetrahydroaluminate, nitrogen dioxide, pentafluoroguanidine, phosphorus halides, phosphorus pentasulfide, tangerine oil, triethylaluminium, trisobutylaluminium
  - ▶ should not be heated above 49 deg. C. when in contact with aluminium equipment
- Secondary alcohols and some branched primary alcohols may produce potentially explosive peroxides after exposure to light and/ or heat.

**SECTION 8 Exposure controls / personal protection**

**Control parameters**

**Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL)**

**INGREDIENT DATA**

Source	Ingredient	Material name	TWA	STEL	Peak	Notes
Australia Workplace exposure limits for airborne contaminants (WEL list) (From 1 December 2026) - Appendix A - Workplace Exposure Limits	2-ethylhexanol	2-Ethylhexanol	1 ppm / 5.33 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available

**MATERIAL DATA**

**Exposure controls**

<b>Appropriate engineering controls</b>	Enclosed local exhaust ventilation is required at points of dust, fume or vapour generation. HEPA terminated local exhaust ventilation should be considered at point of generation of dust, fumes or vapours. Barrier protection or laminar flow cabinets should be considered for laboratory scale handling. A fume hood or vented balance enclosure is recommended for weighing/ transferring quantities exceeding 500 mg. When handling quantities up to 500 gram in either a standard laboratory with general dilution ventilation (e.g. 6-12 air changes per hour) is preferred. Quantities up to 1 kilogram may require a designated laboratory using fume hood, biological safety cabinet, or approved vented enclosures. Quantities exceeding 1 kilogram should be handled in a designated laboratory or containment laboratory using appropriate barrier/ containment technology. Manufacturing and pilot plant operations require barrier/ containment and direct coupling technologies. Barrier/ containment technology and direct coupling (totally enclosed processes that create a barrier between the equipment and the room) typically use double or split butterfly valves and hybrid unidirectional airflow/ local exhaust ventilation solutions (e.g. powder containment booths). Glove bags, isolator glove box systems are optional. HEPA filtration of exhaust from dry product handling areas is required. Fume-hoods and other open-face containment devices are acceptable when face velocities of at least 1 m/s (200 feet/minute) are achieved. Partitions, barriers, and other partial containment technologies are required to prevent migration of the material to uncontrolled areas. For non-routine emergencies maximum local and general exhaust are necessary. Air contaminants generated in the workplace possess varying "escape" velocities which, in turn, determine the "capture velocities" of fresh circulating air required to effectively remove the contaminant.									
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Type of Contaminant:</th> <th>Air Speed:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>solvent, vapours, etc. evaporating from tank (in still air)</td> <td>0.25-0.5 m/s (50-100 f/min.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>aerosols, fumes from pouring operations, intermittent container filling, low speed conveyer transfers (released at low velocity into zone of active generation)</td> <td>0.5-1 m/s (100-200 f/min.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td>direct spray, drum filling, conveyer loading, crusher dusts, gas discharge (active generation into zone of rapid air motion)</td> <td>1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min.)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Type of Contaminant:	Air Speed:	solvent, vapours, etc. evaporating from tank (in still air)	0.25-0.5 m/s (50-100 f/min.)	aerosols, fumes from pouring operations, intermittent container filling, low speed conveyer transfers (released at low velocity into zone of active generation)	0.5-1 m/s (100-200 f/min.)	direct spray, drum filling, conveyer loading, crusher dusts, gas discharge (active generation into zone of rapid air motion)	1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min.)	
	Type of Contaminant:	Air Speed:								
	solvent, vapours, etc. evaporating from tank (in still air)	0.25-0.5 m/s (50-100 f/min.)								
aerosols, fumes from pouring operations, intermittent container filling, low speed conveyer transfers (released at low velocity into zone of active generation)	0.5-1 m/s (100-200 f/min.)									
direct spray, drum filling, conveyer loading, crusher dusts, gas discharge (active generation into zone of rapid air motion)	1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min.)									
<p>Within each range the appropriate value depends on:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Lower end of the range</th> <th>Upper end of the range</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture</td> <td>1: Disturbing room air currents</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only.</td> <td>2: Contaminants of high toxicity</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3: Intermittent, low production.</td> <td>3: High production, heavy use</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4: Large hood or large air mass in motion</td> <td>4: Small hood-local control only</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Lower end of the range	Upper end of the range	1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture	1: Disturbing room air currents	2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only.	2: Contaminants of high toxicity	3: Intermittent, low production.	3: High production, heavy use	4: Large hood or large air mass in motion	4: Small hood-local control only
Lower end of the range	Upper end of the range									
1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture	1: Disturbing room air currents									
2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only.	2: Contaminants of high toxicity									
3: Intermittent, low production.	3: High production, heavy use									
4: Large hood or large air mass in motion	4: Small hood-local control only									
<p>Simple theory shows that air velocity falls rapidly with distance away from the opening of a simple extraction pipe. Velocity generally decreases with the square of distance from the extraction point (in simple cases). Therefore the air speed at the extraction point should be adjusted, accordingly, after reference to distance from the contaminating source. The air velocity at the extraction fan, for example, should be a minimum of 1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min.) for extraction of gases discharged 2 meters distant from the extraction point. Other mechanical considerations, producing performance deficits within the extraction apparatus, make it essential that theoretical air velocities are multiplied by factors of 10 or more when extraction systems are installed or used.</p> <p>The need for respiratory protection should also be assessed where incidental or accidental exposure is anticipated: Dependent on levels of contamination, PAPR, full face air purifying devices with P2 or P3 filters or air supplied respirators should be evaluated.</p> <p>The following protective devices are recommended where exposures exceed the recommended exposure control guidelines by factors of:</p> <p>10; high efficiency particulate (HEPA) filters or cartridges  10-25; loose-fitting (Tyvek or helmet type) HEPA powered-air purifying respirator.  25-50; a full face-piece negative pressure respirator with HEPA filters  50-100; tight-fitting, full face-piece HEPA PAPR  100-1000; a hood-shroud HEPA PAPR or full face-piece supplied air respirator operated in pressure demand or other positive pressure mode.</p>										

<b>Individual protection measures, such as personal protective equipment</b>	
--	---

<b>Eye and face protection</b>	<p>When handling very small quantities of the material eye protection may not be required. For laboratory, larger scale or bulk handling or where regular exposure in an occupational setting occurs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Chemical goggles. [AS/NZS 1337.1, EN166 or national equivalent]</li> <li>▶ Face shield. Full face shield may be required for supplementary but never for primary protection of eyes.</li> <li>▶ Contact lenses may pose a special hazard; soft contact lenses may absorb and concentrate irritants. A written policy document, describing the wearing of lenses or restrictions on use, should be created for each workplace or task. This should include a review of lens absorption and adsorption for the class of chemicals in use and an account of injury experience. Medical and first-aid personnel should be trained in their removal and suitable equipment should be readily available. In the event of chemical exposure, begin eye irrigation immediately and remove contact lens as soon as practicable. Lens should be removed at the first signs of eye redness or</li> </ul>
--------------------------------	--

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

	irritation - lens should be removed in a clean environment only after workers have washed hands thoroughly. [CDC NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin 59].
<b>Skin protection</b>	See Hand protection below
<b>Hands/feet protection</b>	<p><b>NOTE:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ The material may produce skin sensitisation in predisposed individuals. Care must be taken, when removing gloves and other protective equipment, to avoid all possible skin contact.</li> <li>▶ Contaminated leather items, such as shoes, belts and watch-bands should be removed and destroyed.</li> </ul> <p>The selection of suitable gloves does not only depend on the material, but also on further marks of quality which vary from manufacturer to manufacturer. Where the chemical is a preparation of several substances, the resistance of the glove material can not be calculated in advance and has therefore to be checked prior to the application.</p> <p>The exact break through time for substances has to be obtained from the manufacturer of the protective gloves and has to be observed when making a final choice.</p> <p>Personal hygiene is a key element of effective hand care. Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended.</p> <p>Suitability and durability of glove type is dependent on usage. Important factors in the selection of gloves include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· frequency and duration of contact,</li> <li>· chemical resistance of glove material,</li> <li>· glove thickness and</li> <li>· dexterity</li> </ul> <p>Select gloves tested to a relevant standard (e.g. Europe EN 374, US F739, AS/NZS 2161.1 or national equivalent).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· When prolonged or frequently repeated contact may occur, a glove with a protection class of 5 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 240 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended.</li> <li>· When only brief contact is expected, a glove with a protection class of 3 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 60 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended.</li> <li>· Some glove polymer types are less affected by movement and this should be taken into account when considering gloves for long-term use.</li> <li>· Contaminated gloves should be replaced.</li> </ul> <p>As defined in ASTM F-739-96 in any application, gloves are rated as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Excellent when breakthrough time &gt; 480 min</li> <li>· Good when breakthrough time &gt; 20 min</li> <li>· Fair when breakthrough time &lt; 20 min</li> <li>· Poor when glove material degrades</li> </ul> <p>For general applications, gloves with a thickness typically greater than 0.35 mm, are recommended.</p> <p>It should be emphasised that glove thickness is not necessarily a good predictor of glove resistance to a specific chemical, as the permeation efficiency of the glove will be dependent on the exact composition of the glove material. Therefore, glove selection should also be based on consideration of the task requirements and knowledge of breakthrough times.</p> <p>Glove thickness may also vary depending on the glove manufacturer, the glove type and the glove model. Therefore, the manufacturers technical data should always be taken into account to ensure selection of the most appropriate glove for the task.</p> <p>Note: Depending on the activity being conducted, gloves of varying thickness may be required for specific tasks. For example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Thinner gloves (down to 0.1 mm or less) may be required where a high degree of manual dexterity is needed. However, these gloves are only likely to give short duration protection and would normally be just for single use applications, then disposed of.</li> <li>· Thicker gloves (up to 3 mm or more) may be required where there is a mechanical (as well as a chemical) risk i.e. where there is abrasion or puncture potential</li> </ul> <p>Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Rubber gloves (nitrile or low-protein, powder-free latex, latex/ nitrile). Employees allergic to latex gloves should use nitrile gloves in preference.</li> <li>▶ Double gloving should be considered.</li> <li>▶ PVC gloves.</li> <li>▶ Change gloves frequently and when contaminated, punctured or torn.</li> <li>▶ Wash hands immediately after removing gloves.</li> <li>▶ Protective shoe covers. [AS/NZS 2210]</li> <li>▶ Head covering.</li> </ul>
<b>Body protection</b>	See Other protection below
<b>Other protection</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ For quantities up to 500 grams a laboratory coat may be suitable.</li> <li>▶ For quantities up to 1 kilogram a disposable laboratory coat or coverall of low permeability is recommended. Coveralls should be buttoned at collar and cuffs.</li> <li>▶ For quantities over 1 kilogram and manufacturing operations, wear disposable coverall of low permeability and disposable shoe covers.</li> <li>▶ For manufacturing operations, air-supplied full body suits may be required for the provision of advanced respiratory protection.</li> <li>▶ Eye wash unit.</li> <li>▶ Ensure there is ready access to an emergency shower.</li> <li>▶ For Emergencies: Vinyl suit</li> </ul>

**Recommended material(s)****GLOVE SELECTION INDEX**

Glove selection is based on a modified presentation of the: "Forsberg Clothing Performance Index".

The effect(s) of the following substance(s) are taken into account in the **computer-generated** selection:

OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR & CATALYTIC CONVERTER

Material	CPI
BUTYL	A
VITON	A
NEOPRENE	C
PVA	C

\* CPI - Chemwatch Performance Index

A: Best Selection

B: Satisfactory; may degrade after 4 hours continuous immersion

C: Poor to Dangerous Choice for other than short term immersion

**NOTE:** As a series of factors will influence the actual performance of the glove, a final selection must be based on detailed observation. -

\* Where the glove is to be used on a short term, casual or infrequent basis, factors such as "feel" or convenience (e.g. disposability), may dictate a choice of gloves which might otherwise be unsuitable following long-term or frequent use. A qualified practitioner should be consulted.

**Respiratory protection**

Type A Filter of sufficient capacity. (AS/NZS 1716 & 1715, EN 143:2000 & 149:2001, ANSI Z88 or national equivalent)

Where the concentration of gas/particulates in the breathing zone, approaches or exceeds the "Exposure Standard" (or ES), respiratory protection is required.

Degree of protection varies with both face-piece and Class of filter; the nature of protection varies with Type of filter.

Required Minimum Protection Factor	Half-Face Respirator	Full-Face Respirator	Powered Air Respirator
up to 10 x ES	A-AUS	-	A-PAPR-AUS / Class 1
up to 50 x ES	-	A-AUS / Class 1	-
up to 100 x ES	-	A-2	A-PAPR-2 ^

^ - Full-face

A(All classes) = Organic vapours, B AUS or B1 = Acid gasses, B2 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), B3 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), E = Sulfur dioxide(SO<sub>2</sub>), G = Agricultural chemicals, K = Ammonia(NH<sub>3</sub>), Hg = Mercury, NO = Oxides of nitrogen, MB = Methyl bromide, AX = Low boiling point organic compounds(below 65 degC)

- ▶ Cartridge respirators should never be used for emergency ingress or in areas of unknown vapour concentrations or oxygen content.
- ▶ The wearer must be warned to leave the contaminated area immediately on detecting any odours through the respirator. The odour may indicate that the mask

Continued...

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

## Ansell Glove Selection

## Glove — In order of recommendation

AlphaTec® Solvex® 37-185
AlphaTec® 38-612
AlphaTec® 58-008
AlphaTec® 58-735
AlphaTec® Solvex® 37-675
TouchNTuff® 83-500
MICROFLEX® 93-260
TouchNTuff® 92-500
TouchNTuff® 92-605
TouchNTuff® 92-600

The suggested gloves for use should be confirmed with the glove supplier.

is not functioning properly, that the vapour concentration is too high, or that the mask is not properly fitted. Because of these limitations, only restricted use of cartridge respirators is considered appropriate.

- ▶ Cartridge performance is affected by humidity. Cartridges should be changed after 2 hr of continuous use unless it is determined that the humidity is less than 75%, in which case, cartridges can be used for 4 hr. Used cartridges should be discarded daily, regardless of the length of time used

## SECTION 9 Physical and chemical properties

## Information on basic physical and chemical properties

<b>Appearance</b>	Clear colourless liquid with characteristic odour; does not mix with water.		
<b>Physical state</b>	Liquid	<b>Relative density (Water = 1)</b>	0.88
<b>Odour</b>	Characteristic	<b>Partition coefficient n-octanol / water</b>	Not Available
<b>Odour threshold</b>	Not Available	<b>Auto-ignition temperature (°C)</b>	Not Available
<b>pH (as supplied)</b>	Not Applicable	<b>Decomposition temperature (°C)</b>	Not Available
<b>Melting point / freezing point (°C)</b>	Not Applicable	<b>Viscosity (cSt)</b>	~1.5
<b>Initial boiling point and boiling range (°C)</b>	Not Available	<b>Molecular weight (g/mol)</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Flash point (°C)</b>	~70	<b>Taste</b>	Not Available
<b>Evaporation rate</b>	Not Available	<b>Explosive properties</b>	Not Available
<b>Flammability</b>	Not Applicable	<b>Oxidising properties</b>	Not Available
<b>Upper Explosive Limit (%)</b>	Not Available	<b>Surface Tension (dyn/cm or mN/m)</b>	Not Available
<b>Lower Explosive Limit (%)</b>	Not Available	<b>Volatile Component (%vol)</b>	Not Available
<b>Vapour pressure (kPa)</b>	Not Available	<b>Gas group</b>	Not Available
<b>Solubility in water</b>	Immiscible	<b>pH as a solution (1%)</b>	Not Applicable
<b>Vapour density (Air = 1)</b>	Not Available	<b>VOC g/L</b>	Not Available
<b>Heat of Combustion (kJ/g)</b>	Not Available	<b>Ignition Distance (cm)</b>	Not Available
<b>Flame Height (cm)</b>	Not Available	<b>Flame Duration (s)</b>	Not Available
<b>Enclosed Space Ignition Time Equivalent (s/m3)</b>	Not Available	<b>Enclosed Space Ignition Deflagration Density (g/m3)</b>	Not Available

## SECTION 10 Stability and reactivity

<b>Reactivity</b>	See section 7
<b>Chemical stability</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Unstable in the presence of incompatible materials.</li> <li>▶ Product is considered stable.</li> <li>▶ Hazardous polymerisation will not occur.</li> </ul>
<b>Possibility of hazardous reactions</b>	See section 7
<b>Conditions to avoid</b>	See section 7
<b>Incompatible materials</b>	See section 7
<b>Hazardous decomposition products</b>	See section 5

## SECTION 11 Toxicological information

## Information on toxicological effects

<b>a) Acute Toxicity</b>	There is sufficient evidence to classify this material as acutely toxic.
<b>b) Skin Irritation/Corrosion</b>	There is sufficient evidence to classify this material as skin corrosive or irritating.
<b>c) Serious Eye Damage/Irritation</b>	There is sufficient evidence to classify this material as eye damaging or irritating
<b>d) Respiratory or Skin sensitisation</b>	There is sufficient evidence to classify this material as sensitising to skin or the respiratory system
<b>e) Mutagenicity</b>	Based on available data, the classification criteria are not met.
<b>f) Carcinogenicity</b>	Based on available data, the classification criteria are not met.

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

<b>g) Reproductivity</b>	There is sufficient evidence to classify this material as toxic to reproductivity
<b>h) STOT - Single Exposure</b>	There is sufficient evidence to classify this material as toxic to specific organs through single exposure
<b>i) STOT - Repeated Exposure</b>	Based on available data, the classification criteria are not met.
<b>j) Aspiration Hazard</b>	There is sufficient evidence to classify this material as an aspiration hazard

<b>Inhaled</b>	<p>Strong evidence exists that exposure to the material may produce very serious irreversible damage (other than carcinogenesis, mutagenesis and teratogenesis) following a single exposure by inhalation.</p> <p>Evidence shows, or practical experience predicts, that the material produces irritation of the respiratory system, in a substantial number of individuals, following inhalation. In contrast to most organs, the lung is able to respond to a chemical insult by first removing or neutralising the irritant and then repairing the damage. The repair process, which initially evolved to protect mammalian lungs from foreign matter and antigens, may however, produce further lung damage resulting in the impairment of gas exchange, the primary function of the lungs. Respiratory tract irritation often results in an inflammatory response involving the recruitment and activation of many cell types, mainly derived from the vascular system.</p> <p>Inhalation of vapours may cause drowsiness and dizziness. This may be accompanied by narcosis, reduced alertness, loss of reflexes, lack of coordination and vertigo.</p> <p>Inhalation hazard is increased at higher temperatures.</p> <p>Acute effects from inhalation of high concentrations of vapour are pulmonary irritation, including coughing, with nausea; central nervous system depression - characterised by headache and dizziness, increased reaction time, fatigue and loss of co-ordination</p> <p>Central nervous system (CNS) depression may include nonspecific discomfort, symptoms of giddiness, headache, dizziness, nausea, anaesthetic effects, slowed reaction time, slurred speech and may progress to unconsciousness. Serious poisonings may result in respiratory depression and may be fatal.</p> <p>Excessive exposure to 2-ethyl hexanol may result in headache, muscle weakness, nausea, giddiness, ataxia and central nervous system depression.</p> <p>Exposure to aliphatic alcohols with more than 3 carbons may produce central nervous system effects such as headache, dizziness, drowsiness, muscle weakness, delirium, CNS depression, coma, seizure, and neurobehavioural changes. Symptoms are more acute with higher alcohols. Respiratory tract involvement may produce irritation of the mucosa, respiratory insufficiency, respiratory depression secondary to CNS depression, pulmonary oedema, chemical pneumonitis and bronchitis. Cardiovascular involvement may result in arrhythmias and hypotension. Gastrointestinal effects may include nausea and vomiting. Kidney and liver damage may result following massive exposures. The alcohols are potential irritants being, generally, stronger irritants than similar organic structures that lack functional groups (e.g. alkanes) but are much less irritating than the corresponding amines, aldehydes or ketones. Alcohols and glycols (diols) rarely represent serious hazards in the workplace, because their vapour concentrations are usually less than the levels which produce significant irritation which, in turn, produce significant central nervous system effects as well.</p> <p>Some aliphatic hydrocarbons produce axonal neuropathies. Isoparaffinic hydrocarbons produce injury to the kidneys of male rats. When albino rats were exposed to isoparaffins at 21.4 mg/l for 4 hours, all animals experienced weakness, tremors, salivation, mild to moderate convulsions, chromodacryorrhoea and ataxia within the first 24 hours. Symptoms disappeared after 24 hours.</p> <p>Several studies have evaluated sensory irritation in laboratory animals or odor or sensory response in humans. When evaluated by a standard procedure to assess upper airway irritation, isoparaffins did not produce sensory irritation in mice exposed to up to 400 ppm isoparaffin in air. Human volunteers were exposed for six hours to 100 ppm isoparaffin. The subjects were given a self-administered questionnaire to evaluate symptoms, which included dryness of the mucous membranes, loss of appetite, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, fatigue, headache, dizziness, feeling of inebriation, visual disturbances, tremor, muscular weakness, impairment of coordination or paresthesia. No symptoms associated with solvent exposure were observed. With a human expert panel, odour from liquid imaging copier emissions became weakly discernible at approximately 50 ppm.</p> <p>Numerous long-term exposures have been conducted in animals with only one major finding observed. Renal tubular damage has been found in kidneys of male rats upon repeated exposures to isoparaffins. It does not occur in mice or in female rats. This male rat nephropathy has been observed with a number of hydrocarbons, including wholly vaporized unleaded gasoline. The phenomenon has been attributed to reversible binding of hydrocarbon to alpha2-globulin. Since humans do not synthesize alpha2-globulin or a similar protein, the finding is not considered to be of biological significance to man. No clinically significant renal abnormalities have been found in refinery workers exposed to hydrocarbons.</p> <p>When evaluated for developmental toxicity in rats, isoparaffins were neither embryotoxic nor teratogenic. Isoparaffins were consistently negative on standard bacterial genotoxicity assays. They were also non-genotoxic in <i>in vivo</i> mammalian testing for somatic or germ cell mutations (mouse micronucleus test and rat dominant lethal assay, respectively).</p> <p>Mullin et al: Jnl Applied Toxicology 10, pp 136-142, 2006</p> <p>Inhalation of benzyl alcohol may affect respiration (paralysis of the respiratory center, respiratory depression, gasping respirations), cardiovascular system (hypotension)</p>
<b>Ingestion</b>	<p>Accidental ingestion of the material may be harmful; animal experiments indicate that ingestion of less than 150 gram may be fatal or may produce serious damage to the health of the individual.</p> <p>Strong evidence exists that exposure to the material may produce very serious irreversible damage (other than carcinogenesis, mutagenesis and teratogenesis) following a single exposure by swallowing.</p> <p>Swallowing of the liquid may cause aspiration of vomit into the lungs with the risk of haemorrhaging, pulmonary oedema, progressing to chemical pneumonitis; serious consequences may result.</p> <p>Signs and symptoms of chemical (aspiration) pneumonitis may include coughing, gasping, choking, burning of the mouth, difficult breathing, and bluish coloured skin (cyanosis).</p> <p>Many aliphatic hydrocarbons create a burning sensation because they are irritating to the GI mucosa. Vomiting has been reported in up to one third of all hydrocarbon exposures. While most aliphatic hydrocarbons have little GI absorption, aspiration frequently occurs, either initially or in a semi-delayed fashion as the patient coughs or vomits, thereby resulting in pulmonary effects. Once aspirated, the hydrocarbons can create a severe pneumonitis.</p> <p>Rats given isoparaffinic hydrocarbons - isoalkanes- (after 18-24 hours fasting) showed lethargy and/or general weakness, ataxia and diarrhoea. Symptoms disappeared within 24-28 hours.</p> <p>Overexposure to non-ring alcohols causes nervous system symptoms. These include headache, muscle weakness and inco-ordination, giddiness, confusion, delirium and coma.</p> <p>Rats receiving large oral doses of 2-ethyl hexanol showed haematological effects, stomach irritation and effects on the liver, thymus and spleen.</p> <p>Ingestion of large doses of benzyl alcohol may cause abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea. It may affect behavior/central nervous system and cause headache, somnolence, excitement, dizziness, ataxia, coma, convulsions, and other symptoms of central nervous system depression.</p> <p>Exposure to excessive amounts of benzyl alcohol has been associated with toxicity (hypotension, metabolic acidosis), particularly in neonates, and an increased incidence of kernicterus (a neurological condition that occurs in severe jaundice), particularly in small preterm infants. There have been rare reports of deaths, primarily in preterm infants, associated with exposure to excessive amounts of benzyl alcohol. The amount of benzyl alcohol from medications is usually considered negligible compared to that received in flush solutions containing benzyl alcohol. Administration of high dosages of medications containing this preservative must take into account the total amount of benzyl alcohol administered. The amount of benzyl alcohol at which toxicity may occur is not known. If the patient requires more than the recommended dosages or other medications containing this preservative, the practitioner must consider the daily metabolic load of benzyl alcohol from these combined sources.</p>
<b>Skin Contact</b>	<p>Skin contact with the material may be harmful; systemic effects may result following absorption.</p> <p>Strong evidence exists that exposure to the material may produce very serious irreversible damage (other than carcinogenesis, mutagenesis and teratogenesis) following a single exposure by skin contact.</p> <p>The material produces moderate skin irritation; evidence exists, or practical experience predicts, that the material either</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ produces moderate inflammation of the skin in a substantial number of individuals following direct contact, and/or</li> </ul>

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

	<p>► produces significant, but moderate, inflammation when applied to the healthy intact skin of animals (for up to four hours), such inflammation being present twenty-four hours or more after the end of the exposure period.</p> <p>Skin irritation may also be present after prolonged or repeated exposure; this may result in a form of contact dermatitis (nonallergic). The dermatitis is often characterised by skin redness (erythema) and swelling (oedema) which may progress to blistering (vesiculation), scaling and thickening of the epidermis. At the microscopic level there may be intercellular oedema of the spongy layer of the skin (spongiosis) and intracellular oedema of the epidermis.</p> <p>Repeated exposure may cause skin cracking, flaking or drying following normal handling and use.</p> <p>Dermally, isoparaffins have produced slight to moderate irritation in animals and humans under occluded patch conditions where evaporation cannot freely occur. However, they are not irritating in non-occluded tests, which are a more realistic simulation of human exposure. They have not been found to be sensitisers in guinea pig or human patch testing. However, occasional rare idiosyncratic sensitisation reactions in humans have been reported.</p> <p>Rabbits exposed dermally to 2 ml/kg 2-ethyl hexanol, for 12 days, showed evidence of liver, lung, kidney and heart effects.</p> <p>Most liquid alcohols appear to act as primary skin irritants in humans. Significant percutaneous absorption occurs in rabbits but not apparently in man.</p> <p>Open cuts, abraded or irritated skin should not be exposed to this material</p> <p>The material may accentuate any pre-existing dermatitis condition</p> <p>Entry into the blood-stream through, for example, cuts, abrasions, puncture wounds or lesions, may produce systemic injury with harmful effects. Examine the skin prior to the use of the material and ensure that any external damage is suitably protected.</p>								
Eye	<p>When applied to the eye(s) of animals, the material produces severe ocular lesions which are present twenty-four hours or more after instillation.</p> <p>Instillation of isoparaffins into rabbit eyes produces only slight irritation.</p>								
Chronic	<p>Long-term exposure to respiratory irritants may result in disease of the airways involving difficult breathing and related systemic problems. Practical experience shows that skin contact with the material is capable either of inducing a sensitisation reaction in a substantial number of individuals, and/or of producing a positive response in experimental animals.</p> <p>Substances that can cause occupational asthma (also known as asthmagens and respiratory sensitisers) can induce a state of specific airway hyper-responsiveness via an immunological, irritant or other mechanism. Once the airways have become hyper-responsive, further exposure to the substance, sometimes even to tiny quantities, may cause respiratory symptoms. These symptoms can range in severity from a runny nose to asthma. Not all workers who are exposed to a sensitiser will become hyper-responsive and it is impossible to identify in advance who are likely to become hyper-responsive.</p> <p>Substances that can cause occupational asthma should be distinguished from substances which may trigger the symptoms of asthma in people with pre-existing air-way hyper-responsiveness. The latter substances are not classified as asthmagens or respiratory sensitisers</p> <p>Wherever it is reasonably practicable, exposure to substances that can cause occupational asthma should be prevented. Where this is not possible the primary aim is to apply adequate standards of control to prevent workers from becoming hyper-responsive.</p> <p>Activities giving rise to short-term peak concentrations should receive particular attention when risk management is being considered. Health surveillance is appropriate for all employees exposed or liable to be exposed to a substance which may cause occupational asthma and there should be appropriate consultation with an occupational health professional over the degree of risk and level of surveillance.</p> <p>Toxic: danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure through inhalation, in contact with skin and if swallowed.</p> <p>Serious damage (clear functional disturbance or morphological change which may have toxicological significance) is likely to be caused by repeated or prolonged exposure. As a rule the material produces, or contains a substance which produces severe lesions. Such damage may become apparent following direct application in subchronic (90 day) toxicity studies or following sub-acute (28 day) or chronic (two-year) toxicity tests.</p> <p>Exposure to the material may cause concerns for humans owing to possible developmental toxic effects, generally on the basis that results in appropriate animal studies provide strong suspicion of developmental toxicity in the absence of signs of marked maternal toxicity, or at around the same dose levels as other toxic effects but which are not a secondary non-specific consequence of other toxic effects.</p> <p>Prolonged or repeated skin contact may cause drying with cracking, irritation and possible dermatitis following.</p> <p>Limited evidence suggests that repeated or long-term occupational exposure may produce cumulative health effects involving organs or biochemical systems.</p> <p>Implantation studies in rats show that paraffin oils may be tumourigen. As a general rule the highly refined paraffins contain a lower level of suspect polyaromatic hydrocarbons than less refined grades and also less than waxes derived from naphthenic base-stocks.</p> <p>Allergic reactions to benzoic acid have been reported. Of 100 patients with asthma undergoing provocation tests with benzoic acid, 47 showed positive reactions. In another study, of 75 patients with recurrent urticaria (skin eruptions) and angio-oedema (a deep dermal condition characterised by large wheals) of more than 4 months duration, 44 were found to be sensitive to sodium benzoate or p-hydroxybenzoic acid (paraben), alone or in conjunction with aspirin or azo- dyes, or both. In a further work there was no significant objective or subjective skin response to two 500-mg daily doses of benzoic acid or lactic acid in a double blind study of 150 dermatological patients</p> <p>Prolonged or repeated skin contact to 2-ethyl hexanol may result in irritation, reddening and sensitisation. Repeated oral and dermal exposures to animals has resulted in adverse liver and kidney effects.</p> <p>Male and female rats receiving 1.25% 2-ethyl hexanol in the diet for 90 days showed evidence of kidney and liver effects.</p> <p>The material produces teratogenic effects in laboratory rats when administered orally to pregnant Wistar rats on day 12 of gestation (1 and 2 ml/kg). These rats were sacrificed on day 20; the percent surviving foetuses with malformations in had increased to 2% and 22.2% in the low and high doses groups respectively. Maternal toxicity may have been a factor. Two subsequent studies indicate that birth defects in rats do not occur following inhalation of the vapour at maximum saturation concentration (850 mg/m<sup>3</sup>), or dermally at 3 ml/kg doses. Preliminary evidence suggests that absorption through human skin occurs more slowly than in rat.</p> <p>Prolonged or repeated exposure to benzyl alcohol may cause allergic contact dermatitis.</p> <p>Prolonged or repeated ingestion may affect behavior/central nervous system with symptoms similar to acute ingestion. It may also affect the liver, kidneys, cardiovascular system, and metabolism (weight loss).</p> <p>Animal studies have shown this compound to cause lung, liver, kidney and CNS disorders. Studies in animals have shown evidence of teratogenicity in the chick embryo. The significance of the information for humans is unknown.</p> <p>Benzyl alcohol showed no evidence of carcinogenic activity in long-term toxicology and carcinogenesis study.</p>								
OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR & CATALYTIC CONVERTER	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="368 1675 938 1704">TOXICITY</th> <th data-bbox="938 1675 1511 1704">IRRITATION</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="368 1704 938 1765">Not Available</td> <td data-bbox="938 1704 1511 1765">Not Available</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	TOXICITY	IRRITATION	Not Available	Not Available				
TOXICITY	IRRITATION								
Not Available	Not Available								
hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="368 1765 938 1794">TOXICITY</th> <th data-bbox="938 1765 1511 1794">IRRITATION</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="368 1794 938 1839">Dermal (rabbit) LD50: &gt;=3160 mg/kg<sup>[1]</sup></td> <td data-bbox="938 1794 1511 1839">Eye: no adverse effect observed (not irritating)<sup>[1]</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="368 1839 938 1883">Inhalation (Rat) LC50: &gt;=6.1 mg/L4h<sup>[1]</sup></td> <td data-bbox="938 1839 1511 1883">Skin: no adverse effect observed (not irritating)<sup>[1]</sup></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="368 1883 938 1919">Oral (Rat) LD50: &gt;5000 mg/kg<sup>[1]</sup></td> <td data-bbox="938 1883 1511 1919"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	TOXICITY	IRRITATION	Dermal (rabbit) LD50: >=3160 mg/kg <sup>[1]</sup>	Eye: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>	Inhalation (Rat) LC50: >=6.1 mg/L4h <sup>[1]</sup>	Skin: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>	Oral (Rat) LD50: >5000 mg/kg <sup>[1]</sup>	
TOXICITY	IRRITATION								
Dermal (rabbit) LD50: >=3160 mg/kg <sup>[1]</sup>	Eye: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>								
Inhalation (Rat) LC50: >=6.1 mg/L4h <sup>[1]</sup>	Skin: no adverse effect observed (not irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>								
Oral (Rat) LD50: >5000 mg/kg <sup>[1]</sup>									

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

	TOXICITY	IRRITATION
2-ethylhexanol	Dermal (rabbit) LD50: 1970 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>	Eye (Rodent - rabbit): 20mg - Severe
	Oral (Rat) LD50: 2049 mg/kg <sup>[2]</sup>	Eye (Rodent - rabbit): 20mg/24H - Moderate
		Eye (Rodent - rabbit): 20ug - Moderate
		Eye: adverse effect observed (irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>
		Skin (Rodent - rabbit): 0.5mL - Severe
		Skin (Rodent - rabbit): 415mg - Mild
benzyl alcohol		Skin (Rodent - rabbit): 500mg/24H - Moderate
		Skin: adverse effect observed (irritating) <sup>[1]</sup>

**Legend:** 1. Value obtained from Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Acute toxicity 2. Value obtained from manufacturer's SDS. Unless otherwise specified data extracted from RTECS - Register of Toxic Effect of chemical Substances

#### HYDROCARBONS, C10-13, N-ALKANES, ISOALKANES, CYCLICS, <2% AROMATICS

Studies indicate that normal, branched and cyclic paraffins are absorbed from the mammalian gastrointestinal tract and that the absorption of n-paraffins is inversely proportional to the carbon chain length, with little absorption above C30. With respect to the carbon chain lengths likely to be present in mineral oil, n-paraffins may be absorbed to a greater extent than iso- or cyclo-paraffins.

The major classes of hydrocarbons have been shown to be well absorbed by the gastrointestinal tract in various species. In many cases, the hydrophobic hydrocarbons are ingested in association with dietary lipids. The dependence of hydrocarbon absorption on concomitant triglyceride digestion and absorption is known as the "hydrocarbon continuum hypothesis", and asserts that a series of solubilising phases in the intestinal lumen, created by dietary triglycerides and their digestion products, afford hydrocarbons a route to the lipid phase of the intestinal absorptive cell (enterocyte) membrane. While some hydrocarbons may traverse the mucosal epithelium unmetabolised and appear as solutes in lipoprotein particles in intestinal lymph, there is evidence that most hydrocarbons partially separate from nutrient lipids and undergo metabolic transformation in the enterocyte. The enterocyte may play a major role in determining the proportion of an absorbed hydrocarbon that, by escaping initial biotransformation, becomes available for deposition in its unchanged form in peripheral tissues such as adipose tissue, or in the liver.

For alkanes:

Exposure to the commercial hexane (a representative of the ECHA group of hydrocarbons, C5-C7, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, n-hexane rich) had no effect on the behavior of rats. Rats were tested monthly throughout the exposure for hindlimb splay and grip strength. The NOAEC for sub-chronic neurological effects is 9000 ppm in rats.

In a 13 week subchronic inhalation study, the neurotoxicity of light alkylate naphtha distillate (LAND-2; carbon range C5-C8) was examined in male and female rats and aside from acute CNS effects, no treatment related neurotoxic effects were found in any of the treatment groups. The NOAEC was determined to be > 24.3 g/m<sup>3</sup> (6646 ppm). Additionally, no neurological effects were reported in the NTP 2 year carcinogenicity study on Stoddard solvent.

For hydrocarbons, C5-C7, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, n-hexane rich

n-Hexane was metabolized and excreted within 168 h of iv bolus administration, inhalation exposure or dermal application. Exhaled breath and urine were the two primary routes for the excretion and its metabolites. n-Hexane was widely distributed to the body tissues but were not concentrated significantly by any of those tissues. It was extensively metabolized and a number of radio labeled metabolites were excreted in the urine. n-Hexane and its radio labeled metabolites disappeared from the blood of rats with a half-life of approximately 9-10 h.

Repeated inhalation exposure had no apparent effect on the rates or routes of excretion of either of the test compounds or their metabolites. The absorption rates into the skin, normalised for exposure concentration, was determined to be 0.013 cm/h. The maximum absorption rate into the blood was determined to be 0.005 nmol/h. A comparison of the estimated whole-body skin uptake with the inhalatory uptake from the same atmosphere, revealed that the dermal uptake contributed 0.1% to the total uptake.

C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are absorbed, they are typically metabolized by side chain oxidation to alcohol and carboxylic acid derivatives. These metabolites can be glucuronidated and excreted in the urine or further metabolized before being excreted. The majority of the metabolites are excreted in the urine and to a lower extent, in the faeces. Excretion is rapid with the majority of the elimination occurring within the first 24 hours of exposure. As a result of the lack of systemic toxicity and the ability of the parent material to undergo metabolism and rapid excretion, bioaccumulation of the test substance in the tissues is not likely to occur.

C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are poorly absorbed dermally with an estimated overall percutaneous absorption rate of approximately 2ug/cm<sup>2</sup>/hr or 1% of the total applied fluid. Regardless of exposure route, C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are rapidly metabolized and eliminated has been fully evaluated. All of the animal studies were performed in a manner similar or equivalent to currently established OECD guidelines. Based on these data, C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons have a low order of acute toxicity by the oral, dermal, and inhalation routes of exposure.

In a study examining the oral toxicity of commercial hexane, 6 male rats were given doses of up to 25 ml/kg of test substance by oral gavage. The animals were then observed for 14 days for mortality. No mortality was observed at any of the doses. The oral LD50 is therefore > 25 ml/kg (16.75 g/kg; density of 0.67).

C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons is minimally toxic via ingestion where the LD50 is >5000 mg/kg, via dermal exposure where the LD50 is >5000 mg/kg, and by inhalation where the LC50 > 5000 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. These findings do not warrant classification of C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons under the Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 on classification, labeling and packaging of substances and mixtures (CLP) do not warrant classification under the Directive 67/548/EEC for dangerous substances and Directive 1999/45/EC for preparations (DSD/DPD). C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons are classified under EU CLP guidelines as a Category 1 aspiration hazard based on its physical and chemical properties (hydrocarbon fluid, viscosity = 20.5 mm<sup>2</sup>/s) and as an R65 aspiration hazard under the EU DSD/DPD.

One study examined that acute inhalation toxicity of hexane to male rats. Groups of 10 male rats exposed to various large concentrations of hexane vapour for 4 hrs. Animals were then observed for clinical signs and mortality for at least the next 6 days. Several animals died during the exposure period. The LC50 was determined to be 73,680 ppm (259354 mg/m<sup>3</sup>). Due to the high concentration of the LC50, the test substance would not be classified as toxic by inhalation according to OECD GHS guidelines. Surviving animals experienced severe toxicological effects during the exposure.

Skin irritation:

For isoparaffinic, normal paraffinic, and mixed C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids, the weight of evidence indicates that the erythema and oedema scores (24, 48, and 72 average) are below the classification threshold requirements: 2.0, Directive 67/548/EEC for dangerous substances and Directive 1999/45/EC for preparation; 2.3, the new Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 on classification, labeling and packaging of substances and mixtures (CLP).

Continued...

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

For cycloparaffinic C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids, erythema and oedema scores (24, 48, and 72 average) are above the classification threshold requirements: 2.0, Directive 67/518/EEC for dangerous substances and Directive 1999/45/EC for preparation; 2.3, the new Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 on classification, labeling and packaging of substances and mixtures (CLP). This finding warrants classification of the test material as a skin irritant (R38) under Directive 67/518/EEC for dangerous substances and Directive 1999/45/EC for preparations. This finding warrants classification of the test material as a Category 2 dermal irritant under the new Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 on classification, labeling and packaging of substances and mixtures (CLP).

**Eye irritation**

Ocular lesion scores (24, 48, and 72 average) are below the classification threshold requirements.

Directive 67/518/EEC for dangerous substances and Directive 1999/45/EC for preparation: 0, cornea opacity; 0, iris lesion; >2.5, redness of the conjunctivae; >2.0, oedema of the conjunctivae (chemosis). Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 on classification, labeling and packaging of substances and mixtures (CLP): 0, cornea opacity; 0, iris lesion; >2.0, redness of the conjunctivae; >2.0, oedema of the conjunctivae (chemosis).

**Respiratory irritation**

There are no studies that warrant classification as a respiratory irritant under either the Directive 67/518/EEC for dangerous substances and Directive 1999/45/EC or under the new Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 on classification, labeling and packaging of substances and mixtures (CLP).

**Sensitisation:**

A study was performed to determine the concentration of hexane that would be expected to cause sensitization in humans.

Results of previous LLNA experiments were used to calculate the EC3 value, the concentration at which the test substance would produce a 3-fold increase in the proliferative activity of lymph nodes in the LLNA test. The 3-fold increase is considered a positive response for sensitization in the LLNA test. The EC3 value for hexane was determined to be > 100% concentration. The test substance is therefore not sensitizing.

There are no reports of respiratory sensitization from C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids in laboratory animals or humans.

However, skin sensitization studies utilizing C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids found no indication of skin sensitization in guinea pigs. Additional studies in humans also found no indication of skin sensitization. With these observations, it is presumed that C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids will not be a respiratory sensitizing agent.

**Repeat dose toxicity,**

In a study involving n-hexane, neurological effects were only seen at the highest dose level after an average of 101.3 days of exposure. The LOAEL for neurological effects is 46.2 mmol/kg bw (37973 mg/kg), and the NOAEL is 13.2 mmol/kg bw (1135 mg/kg). Reduced body weight gain was seen at all three dose levels, however was only considered treatment related in the 13.2 and 46.2 mmol/kg bw groups. The NOAEL is therefore 6.60 mmol/kg bw.

In a study involving n-hexane The NOAEC for male rats exposed via inhalation was 2984 ppm based on liver and kidney effects. The LOAEC for male rats was 8992 ppm. The NOAEC for female rats was 8992 ppm

C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are expected to have a low order of repeated dose toxicity by the oral route of exposure.

All tests were performed in a manner similar or equivalent to currently established OECD guidelines. In a repeated dose study where C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were administered via oral gavage, no signs of toxicity were observed at the maximum experimental dose tested, 5000 mg/kg/day.

In a repeated dose study where C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were administered via inhalation, no signs of toxicity were observed at 10400 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Based on these observations, the repeat inhalation concentration NOAEL is =10400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (10.4 mg/L) for C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluid

**Genetic toxicity:**

A study examined the in vitro mutagenicity of vapours of the test substance commercial hexane. Plates of *S. typhimurium* were exposed for 7-8 hrs to test atmospheres of 0, 600, 1000, 3000, 6000, or 9000 ppm of test substance. The test substance did not produce a positive response in any of the test strains. The test substance is not mutagenic.

In a study to determine the in vivo effect of inhalation exposure of commercial hexane on rat bone marrow. Groups of 5 male and 5 female rats were exposed to 0, 900, 3000, and 9000 ppm of test substance vapour for 6 hrs/day for 5 days. There was no statistically significant increase in cell aberrations in any treatment group. The test substance is not mutagenic.

C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids are not mutagenic using in vitro or in vivo genotoxicity assays. In bacterial tests, C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids were not mutagenic in *Salmonella* strains tested in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were negative in a in vitro mammalian cell gene mutation assay. In sister chromatid exchange and in chromosomal aberration studies, C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids did not produce an effect.

C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids were also non-mutagenic when tested in an in vivo mouse bone marrow micronucleus assay and when tested in dominant lethal studies utilizing an inhalation route of exposure. All studies were conducted in a manner similar or equivalent to currently established OECD guidelines. C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids are a non-genotoxic agent and classification is not warranted under the Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 on classification, labeling and packaging of substances and mixtures (CLP) or under the Directive 67/518/EEC for dangerous substances and Directive 1999/45/EC for preparations.

**Toxicity to reproduction.**

In a study examining the effects of commercial hexane the NOAEC for both male and female rats (adults and offspring) was 3000 ppm (10560 mg/m<sup>3</sup>). The LOAEC for these groups was 9000 ppm based on reduced body weight. There were no adverse effects to reproduction, therefore the NOAEC for reproduction is 9000 ppm (31680 mg/m<sup>3</sup>).

A study to examine the developmental toxicity of commercial hexane in mice, found the maternal NOAEC was 900 ppm, and the maternal LOAEC was 3000 ppm (10560 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) based on colour changes in the lungs. The developmental NOAEC was 3000 ppm and the LOAEC was 9000 ppm(31680 mg/m<sup>3</sup>) in mice.

C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are not developmental toxicants. In two developmental studies (OECD TG 414), pregnant dams were dosed by inhalation with 0, 300, or 900 ppm C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids during gestational days 6 through 15. No adverse maternal or fetal effects were noted at any dose level. Thus, C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids did not produce any maternal or fetal toxicity or any developmental effects in rats. Based on the study results, the maternal and developmental toxicity NOAEC is >= 900 ppm (5220 mg/m<sup>3</sup>). Based on this study and the lack of systemic toxicity, C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids, are not expected to be developmental toxicants.

For high molecular weight aliphatic hydrocarbons:

**Acute toxicity:**

Four studies were available for acute oral toxicity, dealing with the toxicity of C5-C20 normal paraffins, C14-C17 n-alkanes, C14-C16 paraffins and isohexadecane. All studies were conducted similarly to OECD guideline 401 without GLP compliance. All studies show no mortality at concentrations up to 5000 mg/kg bw.

Three studies were available for acute dermal toxicity, dealing with the toxicity of C5-C20 normal paraffins, C14-C17 n-alkanes and C14-C16 paraffins. All studies were conducted similarly to OECD guideline 402 without GLP compliance. All studies show no mortality at concentrations equal to or higher than 2000 mg/kg bw.

A reliable study and a non-reliable study were available for acute inhalation, dealing with the toxicity of hydrocarbons, C10-C12, isoalkanes, < 2% aromatics and C14-C16 n-paraffins, respectively. All studies were conducted similarly to OECD guideline 403. They all show no mortality at concentrations equal to or higher than 5000 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

**Sensitisation:**

C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids were determined not to be skin sensitizers using Magnusson and Kligman Guinea-Pig Maximization tests (OECD TG 406). C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids were determined not to be skin sensitizers in Human Repeated Insult Patch Tests (HRIPT)

C10-12 isoalkanes (<2% aromatics), C11-C14 n-alkanes (<2% aromatics) and C10-C13 (<2% aromatics) were not dermal sensitizers using a Magnusson and Kligman Guinea-Pig Maximization test (OECD TG 406).

However, skin sensitization studies utilizing C9-14 aliphatics (<2% aromatics) found no indication of skin sensitization in guinea pigs.

Additional studies on C14-C20 aliphatics (<2% aromatics) in humans also found no indication of skin sensitization.

There are no reports of respiratory sensitization from C14-20 aliphatics (<2% aromatics) in laboratory animals or humans.

**Repeat dose toxicity:**

Oral: C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are expected to have a low order of repeated dose toxicity by the oral route of exposure. All tests were performed in a manner similar or equivalent to currently established OECD guidelines. In a repeated dose study where C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were administered via oral gavage, no signs of toxicity were observed at the maximum experimental dose tested, 5000 mg/kg/day.

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

Inhalation: In a repeated dose study where C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were administered via inhalation, no signs of toxicity were observed at 10400 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Based on these observations, the repeat inhalation concentration NOAEL is  $\geq$ 10400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (10.4 mg/L) for C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids.

Two read-across studies from the structurally analogous test materials "hydrocarbons C12-C16, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics" and "hydrocarbons, C10-C13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, < 2% aromatics" were analysed. All tests were performed in a manner similar or equivalent to currently established OECD guidelines. The systemic NOAEL were determined to be higher than 1000 and 5000 mg/kg/day, respectively.

Inhalation: a repeated inhalation toxicity study was performed with "Hydrocarbons, C10 – C12, isoalkanes, < 2% aromatics" similarly to OECD guideline 413. Albino rats were exposed for 6 hours/day, 5 days/week for 13 weeks at nominal vapour concentrations of 10400 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, 5200 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, and 2600 mg/m<sup>3</sup> to assess inhalation toxicity. As there were no pathologic changes, changes in organ weights were judged to have been compensatory rather than toxic effects. Based on these results, the No Observed Adverse Effect Concentration (NOAEC) was greater than or equal to 10400 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Oral: C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are expected to have a low order of repeated dose toxicity by the oral route of exposure. All tests were performed in a manner similar or equivalent to currently established OECD guidelines. In a repeated dose study where C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were administered via oral gavage, no signs of toxicity were observed at the maximum experimental dose tested, 5000 mg/kg/day.

Inhalation: In a repeated dose study where C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were administered via inhalation, no signs of toxicity were observed at 10400 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Based on these observations, the repeat inhalation concentration NOAEL is  $\geq$ 10400 mg/m<sup>3</sup> (10.4 mg/L) for C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids.

**Genetic toxicity:**

C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids are not mutagenic using in vitro or in vivo genotoxicity assays. In bacterial tests, C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids were not mutagenic in Salmonella strains tested in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were negative in a in vitro mammalian cell gene mutation assay. In sister chromatid exchange and in chromosomal aberration studies, C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids did not produce an effect. C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids were also non-mutagenic when tested in an in vivo mouse bone marrow micronucleus assay and when tested in dominant lethal studies utilizing an inhalation route of exposure. All studies were conducted in a manner similar or equivalent to currently established OECD guidelines. C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbons fluids are a non-genotoxic agent and classification is not warranted under the new Regulation (EC) 1272/2008 on classification, labeling and packaging of substances and mixtures (CLP) or under the Directive 67/518/EEC for dangerous substances and Directive 1999/45/EC for preparations.

All Ames tests on "hydrocarbons, C14-C20, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics" showed no mutagenic effect with and without metabolic activation. The chromosome aberration study in CHO cells on "hydrocarbons, C12-C16, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics" also showed no signs of mutagenicity. A mouse lymphoma forward mutation assay performed with hydrodesulfurised kerosene also showed no mutagenic properties.

The weight of evidence is derived from study records reported for the C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatics. C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatics are not genotoxic and are not classifiable as mutagens based upon the results of reliable in vitro and in vivo studies. In bacterial reverse mutation studies, the C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatics were not mutagenic in the presence or absence of metabolic activation (IUCLID section 7.6.1). In mammalian cells in vitro, and in rats in vivo there were no mutagenic, clastogenic or aneugenic effects reported in read-across from studies on C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatics: a negative chromosome aberration (Human Peripheral Lymphocyte Chromosomal Aberration Test, Chinese Hamster Ovary Sister Chromatid Exchange Assay); and an in vivo inhalation exposure bone marrow chromosomal aberration study and micronucleus test (IUCLID sections 7.6.1 and 7.6.2).

Endpoint Conclusion: No adverse effect observed (negative)

**Toxicity to reproduction:**

C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were examined for reproductive toxicity in a 28 day combined repeated dose toxicity study with the reproduction / developmental toxicity screening test (OECD TG 422). C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were administered oral gavage at a dose of 0, 25, 150, or 1000 mg/kg/day to groups of Sprague-Dawley rats. It was concluded that C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids did not induce reproductive toxicity in the parental animals and no effects on the endocrine system were observed. Therefore, the NOAEL was determined to be  $\geq$ 1000 mg/kg bw/day.

C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were examined in a reproduction / developmental toxicity screening test (OECD TG 421). C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were administered by oral gavage at a dose of 0 (vehicle), 100, 300, 1000 mg/kg/day to groups of Sprague-Dawley rats. It was concluded that C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids did not induce reproductive toxicity in the parental animals and no effects on the endocrine system were observed. Therefore, the NOAEL was determined to be  $\geq$ 1000 mg/kg bw/day.

Based on this study and the lack of systemic toxicity, C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids, are not expected to be reproductive toxicants.

In bacterial reverse mutation studies, the C14-C20 aliphatic, <2% aromatics were not mutagenic in the presence or absence of metabolic activation (IUCLID section 7.6.1). In mammalian cells in vitro, and in rats in vivo there were no mutagenic, clastogenic or aneugenic effects reported in read-across from studies on hydrodesulfurized kerosene kerosene, and jet fuels that included: a negative chromosome aberration (Human Peripheral Lymphocyte Chromosomal Aberration Test, Chinese Hamster Ovary Sister Chromatid Exchange Assay); and an in vivo inhalation exposure bone marrow chromosomal aberration study and micronucleus test in rats and mice (IUCLID sections 7.6.1 and 7.6.2).

C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were examined for reproductive toxicity in a 28 day combined repeated dose toxicity study with the reproduction / developmental toxicity screening test (OECD TG 422). C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids were administered oral gavage at a dose of 0, 25, 150, or 1000 mg/kg/day to groups of Sprague-Dawley rats. It was concluded that C9-C14 aliphatic, < 2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids did not induce reproductive toxicity in the parental animals and no effects on the endocrine system were observed. Therefore, the NOAEL was determined to be  $\geq$ 1000 mg/kg bw/day.

**Exposure in humans:**

Seven studies were available on the irritation and/or sensitisation potential of several types of hydrocarbon solvents in volunteers. Clinical tests were conducted with populations ranging from 29 to 112 patients. None of the test substances elicited any sensitisation and/or irritation effects except C5-C20 paraffin, which showed a cumulative irritation effect at 75%. However, this substance was tested under occlusive patch, a condition which exacerbates the irritancy of hydrocarbon solvents.

**Toxicokinetics:**

If C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are absorbed, they are typically metabolized by side chain oxidation to alcohol and carboxylic acid derivatives. These metabolites can be glucuronidated and excreted in the urine or further metabolized before being excreted. The majority of the metabolites are excreted in the urine and to a lower extent, in the faeces. Excretion is rapid with the majority of the elimination occurring within the first 24 hours of exposure. As a result of the lack of systemic toxicity and the ability of the parent material to undergo metabolism and rapid excretion, bioaccumulation of the test substance in the tissues is not likely to occur.

C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are poorly absorbed dermally with an estimated overall percutaneous absorption rate of approximately 2ug/cm<sup>2</sup>/hr or 1% of the total applied fluid. Regardless of exposure route, C9-C14 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are rapidly metabolized and eliminated.

C14-C20 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are typically metabolized by side chain oxidation to alcohol and carboxylic acid derivatives. These metabolites can be glucuronidated and excreted in the urine or further metabolized before being excreted. The majority of the metabolites are excreted in the urine and to a lower extent, in the faeces. Excretion is rapid with the majority of the elimination occurring within the first 24 hours of exposure. As a result of the lack of systemic toxicity and the ability of the parent material to undergo metabolism and rapid excretion, bioaccumulation of the test substance in the tissues is not likely to occur.

Short description of key information on absorption rate:

C14-C20 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids can be dermally absorbed, although they tend to partition into the stratum corneum.

When dermally absorbed, C14-C20 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are rapidly metabolized and eliminated.

Approximately 34% of C14-C20 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are absorbed when ingested. C14-C20 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids is poorly dermally absorbed. Absorption following inhalation is assumed to be similar to ingestion since exposures will be to aerosol. Regardless of exposure route, C14-C20 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are rapidly metabolized. Bioaccumulation of C14-C20 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids is not expected.

C14-C20 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids are absorbed if ingested. C14-C20 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids undergo metabolism and rapid excretion and low deposition, bioaccumulation of the test substance in the tissues is not likely to occur.

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

The fate of pristane (2,6,10,14-tetramethylpentadecane) was studied in rats after a single per os administration of 3H-labeled pristane. The balance study showed extensive fecal excretion (66%) mainly as unchanged hydrocarbon, whereas about 14% of ingested pristane was excreted in urine as pristane metabolites and tritiated water. After one week, 8.3% of the ingested 3H still was stored in the carcass and the radioactive distribution in tissues and organs showed a preferential incorporation into adipose tissue and liver. Over 75% of the radioactivity stored in the carcass was associated with pristane metabolites and tritiated water. Tissue metabolites were characterized by thin layer chromatography, gas chromatography, and mass spectrometric analyses. Four metabolites were identified: pristan-1-ol, pristane-2-ol, pristanic acid and 4,8,12-trimethyltridecanoic acid. These results demonstrated that pristane undergoes subterminal hydroxylation or terminal oxidation followed by the classical beta-oxidation process.

Labeled paraffins with 8-18 C atoms prepared from unsaturated hydrocarbons by addition of deuterium have been added in oily solution to normal rats food. After six days an increase of deuterium content in the body fluid of all the rats was observed indicating that the labeled compounds had been metabolized. Deuterium was found in the fatty acids of the body fats and the liver lipids especially after feeding octadecane and hexadecane. Isolating oleic, stearic, and palmitic acids containing deuterium, indicated that methyl- and beta-oxidation of these hydrocarbons has occurred. Fatty acids resulting from the metabolism of hydrocarbons with shorter chains were not deposited but in these cases the urine contained fatty acids with higher deuterium content than after administration of octadecane and hexadecane. According to the deuterium content of the neutral fractions from the liver and body lipids all the hydrocarbons tested were deposited only to a small extent, the largest depts occurring mainly after feeding with octadecane and hexadecane.

**Discussion on absorption rate:**

There have not been any in vivo dermal absorption studies of C14 – C20 aliphatic, <2% aromatic hydrocarbon fluids, but there have been in vitro studies of similar constituents, particularly hexadecane.

The percutaneous absorption and cutaneous disposition of topically applied neat Jet-A, JP-8, and JP-8(100) jet fuels (25 µL/5 cm<sup>2</sup>) was examined by monitoring the absorptive flux of the marker components 14C naphthalene and 4H dodecane simultaneously applied non-occluded to isolated perfused porcine skin flaps (a = 4). Absorption of 14C hexadecane was estimated from JP-8 fuel. Absorption and disposition of naphthalene and dodecane were also monitored using a nonvolatile JP-8 fraction reflecting exposure to residual fuel that might occur 24 h after a jet fuel spill. In all studies, perfusate, stratum corneum, and skin concentrations were measured over 5 h. Naphthalene absorption had a clear peak absorptive flux at less than 1 h, while dodecane and hexadecane had prolonged, albeit significantly lower, absorption flux profiles. Within JP-8, absorption was (mean +/- SEM; % dose) hexadecane (0.18 +/- 0.08). The area under the curve (AUC) was determined to be (mean +/- SEM; % dose-h/mL): hexadecane (0.0017 +/- 0.0003).

The flux, permeability coefficient (Kp), and binding of hexadecane for porcine skin was determined to be 8.80 +/- 0.00 (nmol/cm<sup>2</sup>/h) x 10E-3. The permeability coefficient (Kp), and binding of hexadecane for human skin were determined to be 7.02 +/- 0.00 (nmol/cm<sup>2</sup>/h) x 10E-3. Factor of difference (FOD) in the permeability of pig and human skin was 1.28 for hexadecane. The FOD in binding of hexadecane to pig and human skin was found to be 0.76.

**Over view of percutaneous absorption of hydrocarbon solvents**

There are no studies of repeated dose toxicity of hydrocarbon solvents using the dermal route of administration. Accordingly, where it is necessary to calculate dermal DNELs, systemic data from studies utilizing other routes of administration, normally inhalation but also oral data, can be used in some situations. In accordance with ECHA guidance, read across from oral or inhalation data to dermal should account for differences in absorption where these exist. In fact, hydrocarbon solvents are poorly absorbed in most situations, in part because some are volatile and do not remain in contact with the skin for long periods of time and also because, due to their hydrophobic natures, do not partition well into aqueous environments and are poorly absorbed into the blood.

If these differences in relative absorption are introduced into the DNEL calculations to calculate external doses, the DNELs based on systemic effects are highly inflated. This seems potentially misleading as it implies that substances have different intrinsic hazards when encountered by different routes whereas in fact the differences are due ultimately to differences in absorbed dose.

Several authors have assessed the percutaneous absorption of higher molecular weight aliphatic constituents. Using porcine skin models the percutaneous absorption values for aliphatic constituents ranging from nonane to tetradecane were well below 1 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>/hr. Rat and human skin are considered to be more permeable than human skin (so these numbers can be considered conservative).

Results of percutaneous absorption studies with human skin under in vivo conditions produced values ranging from 1 – 2 µg/kg/day for decane, undecane and dodecane.

With respect to aromatic hydrocarbons, most of the reported percutaneous absorption values ) are less than 2 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>/day.

After considering all of the above, it seems reasonable to assume apparent that across the entire range of hydrocarbon solvent constituents, percutaneous absorption values are less than 2 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>/day. Accordingly, when systemic dermal DNELs are calculated using route to route extrapolations, the values will not be corrected for differences in absorption. Rather, 2 µg/cm<sup>2</sup>/hr should be used as a common percutaneous absorption rate for all hydrocarbon solvents for which dermal exposure estimates are provided.

**2-ETHYLHEXANOL**

Asthma-like symptoms may continue for months or even years after exposure to the material ends. This may be due to a non-allergic condition known as reactive airways dysfunction syndrome (RADS) which can occur after exposure to high levels of highly irritating compound. Main criteria for diagnosing RADS include the absence of previous airways disease in a non-atopic individual, with sudden onset of persistent asthma-like symptoms within minutes to hours of a documented exposure to the irritant. Other criteria for diagnosis of RADS include a reversible airflow pattern on lung function tests, moderate to severe bronchial hyperreactivity on methacholine challenge testing, and the lack of minimal lymphocytic inflammation, without eosinophilia. RADS (or asthma) following an irritating inhalation is an infrequent disorder with rates related to the concentration of and duration of exposure to the irritating substance. On the other hand, industrial bronchitis is a disorder that occurs as a result of exposure due to high concentrations of irritating substance (often particles) and is completely reversible after exposure ceases. The disorder is characterized by difficulty breathing, cough and mucus production.

The material may produce severe irritation to the eye causing pronounced inflammation. Repeated or prolonged exposure to irritants may produce conjunctivitis.

For alkyl alcohols C6-13:

This group of products are very similar in terms of physicochemical and toxicological properties. Interpolation of data can be used to assess the alkyl alcohols for which data is not available.

**Acute toxicity:** All of these alcohols have a low order of toxicity in rats via the oral route. The LD50 for C6-branched and linear alcohols were >3700 mg/kg; LD50s for the C6-8, C7-9, C8-10, C9-11 and C11-14 branched alkyl alcohols were all >2000 mg/kg. These alcohols have a low order of toxicity via the dermal route. Dermal LD50s were greater than 2600 mg/kg.

**Subchronic toxicity:** Repeat dose studies indicate these alcohols have a low order of subchronic toxicity by both the oral and dermal route. Further they demonstrate that these alcohols display a consistent degree of subchronic toxicity by these routes

**Developmental toxicity:** Studies demonstrate that the alcohols are not selective developmental toxicants by either the oral or inhalation route of exposure. Inhalation of alkyl alcohols C6-13 is a primary concern during industrial use, particularly for lower molecular weight alcohols.

Collectively the weight of evidence demonstrates that these alcohols have a low order of maternal toxicity and do not induce signs of developmental toxicity until maternal toxicity is observed. The NOAELs for inhalation reflect the maximum achievable vapour concentration.

**Reproductive toxicity:** Developmental toxicity studies for several of these alcohols, conducted by the oral route, produce consistent results and demonstrate that these substances do not affect reproductive parameters. Although a slight increase in resorptions was observed in several studies, this occurred only in the highest dose group and in the presence of overt maternal toxicity.

**Genotoxicity:** The weight of evidence from existing data supports the conclusion that these materials are not genotoxic.

Further data to support this assessment comes from a series of alkyl acetates C6-13. Alkyl acetates are produced from alkyl alcohols and undergo metabolism by esterases to produce acetic acid and the corresponding alkyl alcohol. There is no evidence for genotoxicity with these compounds in a variety of strains of *S. typhimurium* in the presence or absence of metabolic activation. C6, C6-8, C7-9 and C11-14 alkyl acetates produced negative results in the Ames test.

Based on data for structurally similar substances these alcohols are not expected to be clastogenic. Alkyl acetates can also be used to predict clastogenic potential of alkyl alcohols. Although there is evidence of cytotoxicity at extremely high doses, no clastogenic activity was seen in a homologous family of alkyl acetates.

**Metabolism:** Alkyl alcohols are broken down, in the body, by mitochondrial beta-oxidation or by cytochrome P450 omega and omega-minus oxidation. The alcohol undergoes various oxidative steps to yield other alcohols, ketones, aldehydes, carboxylic acids and carbon dioxide. Data for monohydric, aliphatic alcohols show a systematic variation according to molecular weight in a manner similar to other homologous series. The body handles aliphatic hydrocarbons in a similar manner via oxidative conversion to alcohols, ketones, and eventual elimination as carbon dioxide and carboxylic acids. The undegraded alcohols can be conjugated either directly or as a metabolite

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

with glucuronic acid, sulfuric acid or glycine and are readily excreted. Intermediate aldehydes may be reactive and bind with DNA and/or proteins.

The Branched Chain Saturated Alcohol (BCSA) group of fragrance ingredients was evaluated for safety.

The 15 materials tested have a low order of acute toxicity.

Following repeated application, seven BCSA tested were of low systemic toxicity

In humans, no evidence of skin irritation was found at concentrations of 2-10%. Undiluted, 11 materials evaluated caused moderate to severe eye irritation. As current end product use levels are between 0.001% and 1.7%, eye irritation is not a concern. The materials have no or low sensitizing potential.

For individuals who are already sensitized, an elicitation reaction is possible. Due to lack of UVA/UVB light-absorbing structures, and review of phototoxic/photoallergy data, the BCSA are not expected to elicit phototoxicity or photoallergy.

Studies performed on eight BCSA and three metabolites show no in vivo or in vitro genotoxicity. A valid carcinogenicity study showed that 2-ethyl-1-hexanol is a weak inducer of liver tumours in female mice, however, the relevance of this effect and mode of action to humans is still a matter of debate.

Current opinion holds that there are no safety concerns regarding BCSA under the present levels of use and exposure.

The common characteristic structural elements of the alcohols with saturated branched chain are one hydroxyl group per molecule, a C4-C12 carbon chain with one or several methyl side chains. Two members of the group, 2-ethyl-1-butanol and 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, contain an ethyl side chain. One member contains a methoxy group. Metabolism studies are lacking for this compound, however, a methoxy group is enzymatically not readily cleaved and if it were so, another primary alcohol group would be formed.

The Research Institute for Fragrance Materials (RIFM) Expert Panel

## BENZYL ALCOHOL

The following information refers to contact allergens as a group and may not be specific to this product.

Contact allergies quickly manifest themselves as contact eczema, more rarely as urticaria or Quincke's oedema. The pathogenesis of contact eczema involves a cell-mediated (T lymphocytes) immune reaction of the delayed type. Other allergic skin reactions, e.g. contact urticaria, involve antibody-mediated immune reactions. The significance of the contact allergen is not simply determined by its sensitisation potential: the distribution of the substance and the opportunities for contact with it are equally important. A weakly sensitising substance which is widely distributed can be a more important allergen than one with stronger sensitising potential with which few individuals come into contact. From a clinical point of view, substances are noteworthy if they produce an allergic test reaction in more than 1% of the persons tested.

Adverse reactions to fragrances in perfumes and in fragranced cosmetic products include allergic contact dermatitis, irritant contact dermatitis, photosensitivity, immediate contact reactions (contact urticaria), and pigmented contact dermatitis. Airborne and conjugal contact dermatitis occur.

Intolerance to perfumes, by inhalation, may occur if the perfume contains a sensitising principal. Symptoms may vary from general illness, coughing, phlegm, wheezing, chest-tightness, headache, exertional dyspnoea, acute respiratory illness, hayfever, and other respiratory diseases (including asthma). Perfumes can induce hyper-reactivity of the respiratory tract without producing an IgE-mediated allergy or demonstrable respiratory obstruction. This was shown by placebo-controlled challenges of nine patients to "perfume mix". The same patients were also subject to perfume provocation, with or without a carbon filter mask, to ascertain whether breathing through a filter with active carbon would prevent symptoms. The patients breathed through the mouth, during the provocations, as a nose clamp was used to prevent nasal inhalation. The patient's earlier symptoms were verified; breathing through the carbon filter had no protective effect. The symptoms were not transmitted via the olfactory nerve but they may have been induced by trigeminal reflex via the respiratory tract or by the eyes.

Cases of occupational asthma induced by perfume substances such as isoamyl acetate, limonene, cinnamaldehyde and benzaldehyde, tend to give persistent symptoms even though the exposure is below occupational exposure limits.

Inhalation intolerance has also been produced in animals. The emissions of five fragrance products, for one hour, produced various combinations of sensory irritation, pulmonary irritation, decreases in expiratory airflow velocity as well as alterations of the functional observational battery indicative of neurotoxicity in mice. Neurotoxicity was found to be more severe after mice were repeatedly exposed to the fragrance products, being four brands of cologne and one brand of toilet water.

Contact allergy to fragrances is relatively common, affecting 1 to 3% of the general population, based on limited testing with eight common fragrance allergens and about 16 % of patients patch tested for suspected allergic contact dermatitis.

Contact allergy to fragrance ingredients occurs when an individual has been exposed, on the skin, to a sufficient degree of fragrance contact allergens. Contact allergy is a life-long, specifically altered reactivity in the immune system. This means that once contact allergy is developed, cells in the immune system will be present which can recognise and react towards the allergen. As a consequence, symptoms, i.e. allergic contact dermatitis, may occur upon re-exposure to the fragrance allergen(s) in question. Allergic contact dermatitis is an inflammatory skin disease characterised by erythema, swelling and vesicles in the acute phase. If exposure continues it may develop into a chronic condition with scaling and painful fissures of the skin. Allergic contact dermatitis to fragrance ingredients is most often caused by cosmetic products and usually involves the face and/or hands. It may affect fitness for work and the quality of life of the individual. Fragrance contact allergy has long been recognised as a frequent and potentially disabling problem. Prevention is possible as it is an environmental disease and if the environment is modified (e.g. by reduced use concentrations of allergens), the disease frequency and severity will decrease. Fragrance contact allergy is mostly non-occupational and related to the personal use of cosmetic products. Allergic contact dermatitis can be severe and widespread, with a significant impairment of quality of life and potential consequences for fitness for work.

Thus, prevention of contact sensitisation to fragrances, both in terms of primary prevention (avoiding sensitisation) and secondary prevention (avoiding relapses of allergic contact dermatitis in those already sensitised), is an important objective of public health risk management measure.

**Hands:** Contact sensitisation may be the primary cause of hand eczema, or may be a complication of irritant or atopic hand eczema. The number of positive patch tests has been reported to correlate with the duration of hand eczema, indicating that long-standing hand eczema may often be complicated by sensitisation. Fragrance allergy may be a relevant problem in patients with hand eczema; perfumes are present in consumer products to which their hands are exposed. A significant relationship between hand eczema and fragrance contact allergy has been found in some studies based on patients investigated for contact allergy. However, hand eczema is a multi-factorial disease and the clinical significance of fragrance contact allergy in (severe) chronic hand eczema may not be clear.

**Axillae Bilateral axillary** (underarm) dermatitis may be caused by perfume in deodorants and, if the reaction is severe, it may spread down the arms and to other areas of the body. In individuals who consulted a dermatologist, a history of such first-time symptoms was significantly related to the later diagnosis of perfume allergy.

**Face** Facial eczema is an important manifestation of fragrance allergy from the use of cosmetic products (16). In men, after-shave products can cause an eczematous eruption of the beard area and the adjacent part of the neck and men using wet shaving as opposed to dry have been shown to have an increased risk of being fragrance allergic.

**Irritant reactions (including contact urticaria):** Irritant effects of some individual fragrance ingredients, e.g. citral are known. Irritant contact dermatitis from perfumes is believed to be common, but there are no existing investigations to substantiate this. Many more people complain about intolerance or rashes to perfumes/perfumed products than are shown to be allergic by testing. This may be due to irritant effects or inadequate diagnostic procedures. Fragrances may cause a dose-related contact urticaria of the non-immunological type (irritant contact urticaria). Cinnamal, cinnamic alcohol, and Myroxylon pereirae are well recognised causes of contact urticaria, but others, including menthol, vanillin and benzaldehyde have also been reported. The reactions to Myroxylon pereirae may be due to cinnamates. A relationship to delayed contact hypersensitivity was suggested, but no significant difference was found between a fragrance-allergic group and a control group in the frequency of immediate reactions to fragrance ingredients in keeping with a nonimmunological basis for the reactions seen.

**Pigmentary anomalies:** The term "pigmented cosmetic dermatitis" was introduced in 1973 for what had previously been known as melanosia faciei feminae when the mechanism (type IV allergy) and causative allergens were clarified. It refers to increased pigmentation, usually on the face/neck, often following sub-clinical contact dermatitis. Many cosmetic ingredients were patch tested at non-irritant concentrations and statistical evaluation showed that a number of fragrance ingredients were associated: jasmine absolute, ylang-ylang oil, cananga oil, benzyl salicylate, hydroxycitronellal, sandalwood oil, geraniol, geranium oil.

**Photo-reactions** Musk ambrette produced a considerable number of allergic photocontact reactions (in which UV-light is required) in the 1970s and was later banned from use in the EU. Nowadays, photoallergic contact dermatitis is uncommon. Furocoumarins (psoralens) in some plant-derived fragrance ingredients caused phototoxic reactions with erythema followed by hyperpigmentation resulting in Berloque dermatitis. There are now limits for the amount of furocoumarins in fragrance products. Phototoxic reactions still occur but are rare.

**General/respiratory:** Fragrances are volatile and therefore, in addition to skin exposure, a perfume also exposes the eyes and naso-respiratory tract. It is estimated that 2-4% of the adult population is affected by respiratory or eye symptoms by such an exposure. It is known that exposure to fragrances may exacerbate pre-existing asthma. Asthma-like symptoms can be provoked by sensory mechanisms. In an

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

epidemiological investigation, a significant association was found between respiratory complaints related to fragrances and contact allergy to fragrance ingredients, in addition to hand eczema, which were independent risk factors in a multivariate analysis.

Fragrance allergens act as haptens, i.e. low molecular weight chemicals that are immunogenic only when attached to a carrier protein. However, not all sensitising fragrance chemicals are directly reactive, but require previous activation. A prohaptens is a chemical that itself is non- or low-sensitising, but that is transformed into a hapten outside the skin by simple chemical transformation (air oxidation, photoactivation) and without the requirement of specific enzymatic systems. A prohaptens is a chemical that itself is non- or low-sensitising but that is transformed into a hapten in the skin (bioactivation) usually via enzyme catalysis. It is not always possible to know whether a particular allergen that is not directly reactive acts as a prohaptens or as a prohaptens, or both, because air oxidation and bioactivation can often give the same product (geraniol is an example). Some chemicals might act by all three pathways.

#### Prohaptens

Compounds that are bioactivated in the skin and thereby form haptens are referred to as prohaptens.

In the case of prohaptens, the possibility to become activated is inherent to the molecule and activation cannot be avoided by extrinsic measures. Activation processes increase the risk for cross-reactivity between fragrance substances. Crossreactivity has been shown for certain alcohols and their corresponding aldehydes, i.e. between geraniol and geranial (citral) and between cinnamyl alcohol and cinnamal. The human skin expresses enzyme systems that are able to metabolise xenobiotics, modifying their chemical structure to increase hydrophilicity and allow elimination from the body. Xenobiotic metabolism can be divided into two phases: phase I and phase II. Phase I transformations are known as activation or functionalisation reactions, which normally introduce or unmask hydrophilic functional groups. If the metabolites are sufficiently polar at this point they will be eliminated. However, many phase I products have to undergo subsequent phase II transformations, i.e. conjugation to make them sufficiently water soluble to be eliminated. Although the purpose of xenobiotic metabolism is detoxification, it can also convert relatively harmless compounds into reactive species. Cutaneous enzymes that catalyse phase I transformations include the cytochrome P450 mixed-function oxidase system, alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases, monoamine oxidases, flavin-containing monooxygenases and hydrolytic enzymes. Acyltransferases, glutathione S-transferases, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases and sulfoltransferases are examples of phase II enzymes that have been shown to be present in human skin. These enzymes are known to catalyse both activating and deactivating biotransformations, but the influence of the reactions on the allergenic activity of skin sensitizers has not been studied in detail. Skin sensitising prohaptens can be recognised and grouped into chemical classes based on knowledge of xenobiotic bioactivation reactions, clinical observations and/or in vivo and in vitro studies of sensitisation potential and chemical reactivity.

**QSAR prediction:** The relationships between molecular structure and reactivity that form the basis for structural alerts are based on well established principles of mechanistic organic chemistry. Examples of structural alerts are aliphatic aldehydes (alerting to the possibility of sensitisation via a Schiff base reaction with protein amino groups), and alpha,beta-unsaturated carbonyl groups, C=C-CO- (alerting to the possibility of sensitisation via Michael addition of protein thiol groups). Prediction of the sensitisation potential of compounds that can act via abiotic or metabolic activation (pre- or prohaptens) is more complex compared to that of compounds that act as direct haptens without any activation. The autoxidation patterns can differ due to differences in the stability of the intermediates formed, e.g. it has been shown that autoxidation of the structural isomers linalool and geraniol results in different major haptens/allergens. Moreover, the complexity of the prediction increases further for those compounds that can act both as pre- and prohaptens. In such cases, the impact on the sensitisation potency depends on the degree of abiotic activation (e.g. autoxidation) in relation to the metabolic activation. CYP1A2 is a member of the cytochrome P450 super family, is one of the best characterized. It is responsible for the metabolism of commonly drugs belonging to classes such as antidepressants, antipsychotics, mood stabilizers, beta blockers and sedative/hypnotics. CYP1A2 also metabolises a number of procarcinogens (such as those in cigarettes). Cigarette smoking may lead to three fold increase in 1A2 activity, which explains why smokers require higher doses of beta blockers than non-smokers. Drugs that inhibit CYP1A2 will predictably increase the plasma concentrations of the medications or decrease in clearance of substrates. Drugs such as ciprofloxacin, fluvoxamine, verapamil, cimetidine, caffeine and isoniazid are inhibitors of CYP1A2 enzyme. Vegetables such as grape fruit juice, cumic and turmeric are inhibitors of the CYP1A2 enzyme which may lead to increase plasma concentration of psychotropics.

Inhibition of NF- $\kappa$ B in vivo can be detrimental. NF- $\kappa$ B controls multiple functions in homeostasis including a functional immune response, cell cycle, and cell death. Genetic studies in mice and analysis of naturally occurring mutations in humans point to specific developmental and immune consequences due to altering NF- $\kappa$ B activity.

The same functions that make NF- $\kappa$ B attractive for developing inhibitors for treating disease also play a role in homeostasis, and disruption of the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway during development or in adults leads to unfavorable and potentially unhealthy consequences.

NF- $\kappa$ B plays a role in multiple homeostatic cellular processes including response to stimuli, cell proliferation, and death, regulating communication between cells, but is also tightly linked with other signaling pathways within the cell, such as p38 and JNK. In addition to mediating proinflammatory responses, NF- $\kappa$ B may regulate apoptotic and cell cycle changes induced by cellular stress, DNA damage or oncogenes by communication with the tumor suppressor p53. Disruption of normal cellular responses by inhibiting NF- $\kappa$ B can have adverse consequences such as immune suppression and tissue damage.

Understanding the consequences of lack of NF- $\kappa$ B activity in adult humans comes from observation of naturally occurring genetic deficiencies in this pathway. Mutations have been discovered in humans in signaling molecules upstream of NF- $\kappa$ B resulting in defects in development or immunity. Genetic defects have also been discovered in genes that immediately affect NF- $\kappa$ B activation including IKK gamma (NEMO), a subunit of the IKK complex, and I $\kappa$ Balpha. The IKK gamma mutations result in a defective IKK complex and the I $\kappa$ Balpha mutation results in an I $\kappa$ Balpha protein that cannot be phosphorylated and degraded. Both genetic defects result in suppressed NF- $\kappa$ B activation and ectodermal dysplasia with immunodeficiency. In general patients with these genetic defects have multiple immunological defects including impaired innate immunity, impaired antibody production, and ultimately severe bacterial infections. Understanding the immune defects and susceptibilities in patients with genetic defects in the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway will help prepare for potential adverse effects of pharmacologic NF- $\kappa$ B inhibitors.

The requirement for NF- $\kappa$ B in the development and maintenance of the immune system is well documented. NF- $\kappa$ B is required for survival during fetal development and for normal lymphocyte generation in adult mice. Removal of the p65 (RelA) subunit of NF- $\kappa$ B or the I $\kappa$ Bbeta gene results in death during fetal development primarily due to massive liver apoptosis.

Fetal liver stem cells from p65 or I $\kappa$ Bbeta deficient mice have been transplanted into irradiated hosts revealing a specific requirement of NF- $\kappa$ B for T-cells, B-cells, and common lymphoid progenitor development but not for myeloid cells or stem cells. The failure to produce lymphocytes is mediated through hypersensitivity to TNF due to lack of NF- $\kappa$ B activity. Lymphocyte depletion with chemical or genetic inhibition of NF- $\kappa$ B have implications for therapeutic potential use in humans. The double-sided nature of NF- $\kappa$ B inhibition is clear in this instance where chemical inhibition in vivo mimics genetic experiments inducing rapid TNF-dependent apoptosis. Rapid induction of apoptosis may be an advantage for treating some forms of cancer, but at the same time cause depletion of some lymphocyte populations. In addition to controlling lymphocyte development, NF- $\kappa$ B plays a major role in both adaptive and innate immunity. Various signaling pathways responding to receptor recognition of immune challenge converge on NF- $\kappa$ B which then regulates genes that control the immune response. Both T-cell receptor and B-cell receptors activate NF- $\kappa$ B through phosphorylation of CARMA1 by PKC theta and PKC beta respectively, resulting in recruitment and activation of IKK and ultimately expression of genes that control cellular activation, proliferation, and survival. In addition, NF- $\kappa$ B plays a role in T-cell response to costimulatory signals. Cells respond to pathogenic microorganisms in part through recognition by Toll-like receptors (TLRs). TLR-family members recognize different molecular structures present in microbes and respond by activating signaling pathways including NF- $\kappa$ B leading to expression of anti-microbial effector molecules, as well as molecules that help in development of the adaptive immune response. Inhibition of NF- $\kappa$ B during TLR stimulation can lead to macrophage apoptosis, a mechanism used by some pathogens to help evade immune response. NF- $\kappa$ B is clearly required for normal mature B-cell and T-cell maintenance and function, including regulatory, memory, and natural killer-like T cells. Inhibition of NF- $\kappa$ B activation in lymphocytes results in defects in growth, survival, and cytokine production and blocks multiple steps in germinal center formation. Given the diverse roles NF- $\kappa$ B plays in immune response to pathogens it is not surprising to find mice genetically deficient in components of the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway are susceptible to parasitic and bacterial infection.

The role of NF- $\kappa$ B in inhibition of apoptosis is one of the factors that make it a potential target for cancer therapy. NF- $\kappa$ B deficient mice die during embryogenesis in part due to TNF-mediated liver damage. Adult mice with impaired NF- $\kappa$ B targeted to the liver have normal liver function, but have severe liver damage after challenge with concanavalin A, a pan-T cell activator. Liver damage occurs due to sustained activation of JNK due to accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in the absence of normal NF- $\kappa$ B activation.

The aryl alkyl alcohol (AAA) fragrance ingredients are a diverse group of chemical structures with similar metabolic and toxicity profiles. The AAA fragrances demonstrate low acute and subchronic dermal and oral toxicity.

At concentrations likely to be encountered by consumers, AAA fragrance ingredients are non-irritating to the skin.

The potential for eye irritation is minimal.

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

With the exception of benzyl alcohol and to a lesser extent phenethyl and 2-phenoxyethyl AAA alcohols, human sensitization studies, diagnostic patch tests and human induction studies, indicate that AAA fragrance ingredients generally have no or low sensitization potential. Available data indicate that the potential for photosensitization is low.

NOAELs for maternal and developmental toxicity are far in excess of current human exposure levels.

No carcinogenicity in rats or mice was observed in 2-year chronic testing of benzyl alcohol or a-methylbenzyl alcohol; the latter did induce species and gender-specific renal adenomas in male rats at the high dose. There was no to little genotoxicity, mutagenicity, or clastogenicity in the mutagenicity *in vitro* bacterial assays, and *in vitro* mammalian cell assays. All *in vivo* micronucleus assays were negative.

It is concluded that these materials would not present a safety concern at current levels of use as fragrance ingredients

The Research Institute for Fragrance Materials (RIFM) Expert Panel

A member or analogue of a group of benzyl derivatives generally regarded as safe (GRAS) based in part on their self-limiting properties as flavouring substances in food; their rapid absorption, metabolic detoxification, and excretion in humans and other animals, their low level of flavour use, the wide margin of safety between the conservative estimates of intake and the no-observed-adverse effect levels determined from chronic and subchronic studies and the lack of significant genotoxic and mutagenic potential. This evidence of safety is supported by the fact that the intake of benzyl derivatives as natural components of traditional foods is greater than the intake as intentionally added flavouring substances.

All members of this group are aromatic primary alcohols, aldehydes, carboxylic acids or their corresponding esters or acetals. The substances in this group:

- contain a benzene ring substituted with a reactive primary oxygenated functional group or can be hydrolysed to such a functional group
- the major pathway of metabolic detoxification involves hydrolysis and oxidation to yield the corresponding benzoic acid derivative which is excreted either as the free acid or the glycine conjugate
- they show a consistent pattern of toxicity in both short- and long- term studies and
- they exhibit no evidence of genotoxicity in standardised batteries of *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays.

The benzyl derivatives are rapidly absorbed through the gut, metabolised primarily in the liver, and excreted in the urine as glycine conjugates of benzoic acid derivatives.

In general, aromatic esters are hydrolysed *in vivo* through the catalytic activity of carboxylesterases, the most important of which are the A-esterases. Hydrolysis of benzyl and benzoate esters to yield corresponding alcohols and carboxylic acids and hydrolysis of acetals to yield benzaldehyde and simple alcohols have been reported in several experiments.

The alcohols and aldehydes are rapidly oxidised to benzoic acid while benzoate esters are hydrolysed to benzoic acid.

Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association (FEMA)

For benzyl alkyl alcohols:

Unlike benzylic alcohols, the beta-hydroxyl group of the members of this cluster is unlikely to undergo phase II metabolic activation. Instead, the beta-hydroxyl group is expected to contribute to detoxification via oxidation to hydrophilic acid. Despite structural similarity to carcinogenic ethyl benzene, only a marginal concern has been assigned to phenethyl alcohol due to limited mechanistic analogy.

For benzoates:

**Acute toxicity:** Benzyl alcohol, benzoic acid and its sodium and potassium salt can be considered as a single category regarding human health, as they are all rapidly metabolised and excreted via a common pathway within 24 hrs. Systemic toxic effects of similar nature (e.g. liver, kidney) were observed. However with benzoic acid and its salts toxic effects are seen at higher doses than with benzyl alcohol.

The compounds exhibit low acute toxicity as for the oral and dermal route. The LD50 values are > 2000 mg/kg bw except for benzyl alcohol which needs to be considered as harmful by the oral route in view of an oral LD50 of 1610 mg/kg bw. The 4 hrs inhalation exposure of benzyl alcohol or benzoic acid at 4 and 12 mg/l as aerosol/dust respectively gave no mortality, showing low acute toxicity by inhalation for these compounds.

Benzoic acid and benzyl alcohol are slightly irritating to the skin, while sodium benzoate was not skin irritating. No data are available for potassium benzoate but it is also expected not to be skin irritating. Benzoic acid and benzyl alcohol are irritating to the eye and sodium benzoate was only slightly irritating to the eye. No data are available for potassium benzoate but it is expected also to be only slightly irritating to the eye.

**Sensitisation:** The available studies for benzoic acid gave no indication for a sensitising effect in animals, however occasionally very low positive reactions were recorded with humans (dermatological patients) in patch tests. The same occurs for sodium benzoate. It has been suggested that the very low positive reactions are non-immunologic contact urticaria. Benzyl alcohol gave positive and negative results in animals. Benzyl alcohol also demonstrated a maximum incidence of sensitization of only 1% in human patch testing. Over several decades no sensitization with these compounds has been seen among workers.

**Repeat dose toxicity:** For benzoic acid repeated dose oral toxicity studies give a NOAEL of 800 mg/kg/day. For the salts values > 1000 mg/kg/day are obtained. At higher doses increased mortality, reduced weight gain, liver and kidney effects were observed.

For benzyl alcohol the long-term studies indicate a NOAEL > 400 mg/kg bw/d for rats and > 200 mg/kg bw/d for mice. At higher doses effects on bodyweights, lesions in the brains, thymus, skeletal muscle and kidney were observed. It should be taken into account that administration in these studies was by gavage route, at which saturation of metabolic pathways is likely to occur.

**Mutagenicity:** All chemicals showed no mutagenic activity in *in vitro* Ames tests. Various results were obtained with other *in vitro* genotoxicity assays. Sodium benzoate and benzyl alcohol showed no genotoxicity *in vivo*. While some mixed and/or equivocal *in vitro* chromosomal/chromatid responses have been observed, no genotoxicity was observed in the *in vivo* cytogenetic, micronucleus, or other assays. The weight of the evidence of the *in vitro* and *in vivo* genotoxicity data indicates that these chemicals are not mutagenic or clastogenic. They also are not carcinogenic in long-term carcinogenicity studies.

In a 4-generation study with benzoic acid no effects on reproduction were seen (NOAEL: 750 mg/kg). No compound related effects on reproductive organs (gross and histopathology examination) could be found in the (sub) chronic studies in rats and mice with benzyl acetate, benzyl alcohol, benzaldehyde, sodium benzoate and supports a non-reprotoxic potential of these compounds. In addition, data from reprotoxicity studies on benzyl acetate (NOAEL >2000 mg/kg bw/d; rats and mice) and benzaldehyde (tested only up to 5 mg/kg bw; rats) support the non-reprotoxicity of benzyl alcohol and benzoic acid and its salts.

**Developmental toxicity:** In rats for sodium benzoate dosed via food during the entire gestation developmental effects occurred only in the presence of marked maternal toxicity (reduced food intake and decreased body weight) (NOAEL = 1400 mg/kg bw). For hamster (NOEL: 300 mg/kg bw), rabbit (NOEL: 250 mg/kg bw) and mice (CD-1 mice, NOEL: 175 mg/kg bw) no higher doses (all by gavage) were tested and no maternal toxicity was observed. For benzyl alcohol: NOAEL= 550 mg/kg bw (gavage; CD-1 mice). LOAEL = 750 mg/kg bw (gavage mice). In this study maternal toxicity was observed e.g. increased mortality, reduced body weight and clinical toxicology. Benzyl acetate: NOEL = 500 mg/kg bw (gavage rats). No maternal toxicity was observed.

## 2-ETHYLHEXANOL &amp; BENZYL ALCOHOL

The material may cause skin irritation after prolonged or repeated exposure and may produce on contact skin redness, swelling, the production of vesicles, scaling and thickening of the skin.

Acute Toxicity	✓	Carcinogenicity	✗
Skin Irritation/Corrosion	✓	Reproductivity	✓
Serious Eye Damage/Irritation	✓	STOT - Single Exposure	✓
Respiratory or Skin sensitisation	✓	STOT - Repeated Exposure	✗
Mutagenicity	✗	Aspiration Hazard	✓

**Legend:** ✗ – Data either not available or does not fill the criteria for classification  
 ✓ – Data available to make classification

## SECTION 12 Ecological information

## Toxicity

Continued...

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR & CATALYTIC CONVERTER	Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species	Value	Source
	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics	Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species	Value	Source
	EC50	72h	Algae or other aquatic plants	>100mg/l	2
	NOEC(ECx)	48h	Crustacea	100mg/l	2
2-ethylhexanol	Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species	Value	Source
	EC50	72h	Algae or other aquatic plants	11.5mg/l	1
	EC50	48h	Crustacea	39mg/l	1
	EC10(ECx)	72h	Algae or other aquatic plants	3.2mg/l	1
	LC50	96h	Fish	>7.5mg/l	2
benzyl alcohol	Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species	Value	Source
	EC50	72h	Algae or other aquatic plants	500mg/l	2
	EC50	48h	Crustacea	230mg/l	2
	NOEC(ECx)	336h	Fish	5.1mg/l	2
	EC50	96h	Algae or other aquatic plants	76.828mg/l	2
	LC50	96h	Fish	10mg/l	2
<b>Legend:</b>	Extracted from 1. IUCLID Toxicity Data 2. Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Ecotoxicological Information - Aquatic Toxicity 3. US EPA, Ecotox database - Aquatic Toxicity Data 4. ECETOC Aquatic Hazard Assessment Data 5. NITE (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 6. METI (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 7. Vendor Data				

Toxic to aquatic organisms, may cause long-term adverse effects in the aquatic environment.

**DO NOT discharge into sewer or waterways.**

## Persistence and degradability

Ingredient	Persistence: Water/Soil	Persistence: Air
2-ethylhexanol	LOW	LOW
benzyl alcohol	LOW	LOW

## Bioaccumulative potential

Ingredient	Bioaccumulation
2-ethylhexanol	LOW (BCF = 27)
benzyl alcohol	LOW (LogKOW = 1.1)

## Mobility in soil

Ingredient	Mobility
2-ethylhexanol	LOW (Log KOC = 26.01)
benzyl alcohol	LOW (Log KOC = 15.66)

## SECTION 13 Disposal considerations

## Waste treatment methods

Product / Packaging disposal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ Containers may still present a chemical hazard/ danger when empty.</li> <li>▶ Return to supplier for reuse/ recycling if possible.</li> </ul> <p>Otherwise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▶ If container can not be cleaned sufficiently well to ensure that residuals do not remain or if the container cannot be used to store the same product, then puncture containers, to prevent re-use, and bury at an authorised landfill.</li> <li>▶ Where possible retain label warnings and SDS and observe all notices pertaining to the product.</li> <li>▶ <b>DO NOT allow wash water from cleaning or process equipment to enter drains.</b></li> <li>▶ It may be necessary to collect all wash water for treatment before disposal.</li> <li>▶ In all cases disposal to sewer may be subject to local laws and regulations and these should be considered first.</li> <li>▶ Where in doubt contact the responsible authority.</li> <li>▶ Recycle wherever possible or consult manufacturer for recycling options.</li> <li>▶ Consult State Land Waste Authority for disposal.</li> <li>▶ Bury or incinerate residue at an approved site.</li> <li>▶ Recycle containers if possible, or dispose of in an authorised landfill.</li> </ul>
------------------------------	--

## SECTION 14 Transport information

## Labels Required



## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

Marine Pollutant	
HAZCHEM	●3Z

## Land transport (ADG)

14.1. UN number or ID number	3082	
14.2. UN proper shipping name	ENVIRONMENTALLY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE, LIQUID, N.O.S. (contains 2-ethylhexanol)	
14.3. Transport hazard class(es)	Class	9
	Subsidiary Hazard	Not Applicable
14.4. Packing group	III	
14.5. Environmental hazard	Environmentally hazardous	
14.6. Special precautions for user	Special provisions	274 331 335 375 AU01
	Limited quantity	5 L

Environmentally Hazardous Substances meeting the descriptions of UN 3077 or UN 3082 are not subject to this Code when transported by road or rail in;

(a) packagings;

(b) IBCs; or

(c) any other receptacle not exceeding 500 kg(L).

- Australian Special Provisions (SP AU01) - ADG Code 7th Ed.

## Air transport (ICAO-IATA / DGR)

14.1. UN number	3082	
14.2. UN proper shipping name	Environmentally hazardous substance, liquid, n.o.s. (contains 2-ethylhexanol)	
14.3. Transport hazard class(es)	ICAO/IATA Class	9
	ICAO / IATA Subsidiary Hazard	Not Applicable
	ERG Code	9L
14.4. Packing group	III	
14.5. Environmental hazard	Environmentally hazardous	
14.6. Special precautions for user	Special provisions	A97 A158 A197 A215
	Cargo Only Packing Instructions	964
	Cargo Only Maximum Qty / Pack	450 L
	Passenger and Cargo Packing Instructions	964
	Passenger and Cargo Maximum Qty / Pack	450 L
	Passenger and Cargo Limited Quantity Packing Instructions	Y964
	Passenger and Cargo Limited Maximum Qty / Pack	30 kg G

## Sea transport (IMDG-Code / GGVSee)

14.1. UN number	3082	
14.2. UN proper shipping name	ENVIRONMENTALLY HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE, LIQUID, N.O.S. (contains 2-ethylhexanol)	
14.3. Transport hazard class(es)	IMDG Class	9
	IMDG Subsidiary Hazard	Not Applicable
14.4. Packing group	III	
14.5. Environmental hazard	Marine Pollutant	
14.6. Special precautions for user	EMS Number	F-A, S-F
	Special provisions	274 335 375 969
	Limited Quantities	5 L

## 14.7. Maritime transport in bulk according to IMO instruments

## 14.7.1. Transport in bulk according to Annex II of MARPOL and the IBC code

Not Applicable

## 14.7.2. Transport in bulk in accordance with MARPOL Annex V and the IMSBC Code

Product name	Group
hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics	Not Applicable

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

Product name	Group
2-ethylhexanol	Not Applicable
benzyl alcohol	Not Applicable

## 14.7.3. Transport in bulk in accordance with the IGC Code

Product name	Ship Type
hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics	Not Applicable
2-ethylhexanol	Not Applicable
benzyl alcohol	Not Applicable

## SECTION 15 Regulatory information

## Safety, health and environmental regulations / legislation specific for the substance or mixture

## hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, &lt;2% aromatics is found on the following regulatory lists

Not Applicable

## 2-ethylhexanol is found on the following regulatory lists

Australia Hazardous Chemical Information System (HCIS) - Hazardous Chemicals  
Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals (AIIC)

## benzyl alcohol is found on the following regulatory lists

Australia Hazardous Chemical Information System (HCIS) - Hazardous Chemicals  
Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals (AIIC)

## Additional Regulatory Information

Not Applicable

## National Inventory Status

National Inventory	Status
Australia - AIIC / Australia Non-Industrial Use	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
Canada - DSL	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
Canada - NDSL	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics; 2-ethylhexanol; benzyl alcohol)
China - IECSC	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
Europe - EINEC / ELINCS / NLP	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
Japan - ENCS	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
Korea - KECI	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
New Zealand - NZIoC	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
Philippines - PICCS	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
USA - TSCA	TSCA Inventory 'Active' substance(s) (2-ethylhexanol; benzyl alcohol); No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
Taiwan - TCSI	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
Mexico - INSQ	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
Vietnam - NCI	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
Russia - FBEPH	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics)
UAE - Control List (Banned/Restricted Substances)	No (hydrocarbons, C10-13, n-alkanes, isoalkanes, cyclics, <2% aromatics; 2-ethylhexanol; benzyl alcohol)
<b>Legend:</b>	Yes = All CAS declared ingredients are on the inventory No = One or more of the CAS listed ingredients are not on the inventory. These ingredients may be exempt or will require registration.

## SECTION 16 Other information

Revision Date	23/03/2026
Initial Date	23/03/2026

## Other information

Classification of the preparation and its individual components has drawn on official and authoritative sources as well as independent review by the Chemwatch Classification committee using available literature references.

The SDS is a Hazard Communication tool and should be used to assist in the Risk Assessment. Many factors determine whether the reported Hazards are Risks in the workplace or other settings. Risks may be determined by reference to Exposures Scenarios. Scale of use, frequency of use and current or available engineering controls must be considered.

## Definitions and abbreviations

- ▶ PC - TWA: Permissible Concentration-Time Weighted Average
- ▶ PC - STEL: Permissible Concentration-Short Term Exposure Limit
- ▶ IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer
- ▶ ACGIH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
- ▶ STEL: Short Term Exposure Limit

Continued...

## OXICAT OXYGEN SENSOR &amp; CATALYTIC CONVERTER

- ▶ TEEL: Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit,
- ▶ IDLH: Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations
- ▶ ES: Exposure Standard
- ▶ OSF: Odour Safety Factor
- ▶ NOAEL: No Observed Adverse Effect Level
- ▶ LOAEL: Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level
- ▶ TLV: Threshold Limit Value
- ▶ LOD: Limit Of Detection
- ▶ OTV: Odour Threshold Value
- ▶ BCF: BioConcentration Factors
- ▶ BEI: Biological Exposure Index
- ▶ DNEL: Derived No-Effect Level
- ▶ PNEC: Predicted no-effect concentration
- ▶ MARPOL: International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships
- ▶ IMSBC: International Maritime Solid Bulk Cargoes Code
- ▶ IGC: International Gas Carrier Code
- ▶ IBC: International Bulk Chemical Code
  
- ▶ AIIC: Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals
- ▶ DSL: Domestic Substances List
- ▶ NDSL: Non-Domestic Substances List
- ▶ IECSC: Inventory of Existing Chemical Substance in China
- ▶ EINECS: European Inventory of Existing Commercial chemical Substances
- ▶ ELINCS: European List of Notified Chemical Substances
- ▶ NLP: No-Longer Polymers
- ▶ ENCS: Existing and New Chemical Substances Inventory
- ▶ KECI: Korea Existing Chemicals Inventory
- ▶ NZIoC: New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals
- ▶ PICCS: Philippine Inventory of Chemicals and Chemical Substances
- ▶ TSCA: Toxic Substances Control Act
- ▶ TCSI: Taiwan Chemical Substance Inventory
- ▶ INSQ: Inventario Nacional de Sustancias Químicas
- ▶ NCI: National Chemical Inventory
- ▶ FBEPH: Russian Register of Potentially Hazardous Chemical and Biological Substances

This document is copyright.

Apart from any fair dealing for the purposes of private study, research, review or criticism, as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part may be reproduced by any process without written permission from CHEMWATCH.

TEL (+61 3) 9572 4700.